

The clash of civilisations

An essay

Introduction

Douglas Murray recently commented in an interview that the foundations of a successful society were the liberties of freedom of speech, freedom of expression, freedom of movement and all the other liberties that are enshrined in the British Constitution. Only a free people can be released into innovation, developing entrepreneurial projects, gathering investment, establishing a stable workforce and generally enhancing the economy.

He didn't mention it by name but he implied that the Glorious Revolution (which led to the Bill of Rights of 1689) was the only really successful revolution that led to a growing economy and a stable nation. I agree and have written about this many times.¹

Murray also made the oft-mentioned point that if Islamic societies are so brilliant (as their religion would claim, being the final revelation of God through Muhammad) why are millions of Muslims from multiple nations striving and risking their lives to get into Britain. If Albion is such an evil nation, as repeatedly claimed by Muslim immigrants, why did they struggle to get here? Why is no one from the West endeavouring to start a life in Islamic countries (not that they would be allowed to settle in droves anyway)?

The obvious reason is that the long-standing project of mongrelising, schismatising and destroying British culture and people, a project that goes back to 1925,² freely enables illegal immigrants into the country and then gives them I-phones, money, shelter, food, medical care, and welfare in general. Eventually they are given 4-star hotel accommodation (costing £6.8 million per day),³ leave to remain and finally a free house. Meanwhile homeless Britons are ignored, sick veteran servicemen are left living rough and families that have been on the housing waiting list for decades are sent to the bottom of the pile. The tensions such immigration causes leads to societal fragmentation and eventually civil war; which is what the Kalergi mongrelisation plan and the Cultural Marxist projects⁴ had as its goal.

The mystery to most British people is why Muslim immigrants (the vast majority are Islamic) would then bite the hand that feeds them. Instead of being grateful for such generosity they refuse to assimilate, reject British customs, set up ghettos, demand recognition of their culture – such as establishing mosques everywhere, institute local Sharia law (contrary to English law) and even form their own Sharia police vigilantes.

¹ Compare that all Communist regimes have ruined national economies by centralisation, bureaucracy and corruption.

² See the works of Count Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi (a pioneer of the EU). E.g. 'Pan Europa' (1922), or 'Praktischer Idealismus' (1925), where he states: 'The European man of the future will be of a mixed race, Today's races and classes will disappear owing to the disappearing of nations, time and prejudice. The Eurasian Negroid race of the future, similar in its outward appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, will replace the diversity of peoples with a diversity of individuals.'

³ Estimates vary, such as £5.6 million in some sources, since the situation is constantly changing.

⁴ The Cultural Marxists of the Frankfurt School determined to split and destroy Western societies from within in order to enable a Marxist revolution through culture wars.

Immigrants form a high proportion of criminals in prisons⁵ and commit about a quarter of sex crimes despite being only 10% of the population.⁶ Pakistani Muslim rape and grooming gangs have sexually abused and raped hundreds of thousands of young women⁷ across the whole country; some were killed. All this while the police stood by, too afraid of accusations of racism to protect vulnerable kids. There are frequent terrorist attacks on innocent people performed by radical Muslim terrorists (most terrorist attacks are Islamic),⁸ including some of the worst crimes in British history, such as the brutal Southport killings of young girls.⁹

Rational people (including many citizens of foreign extraction such as Sikhs, Indians, Caribbean groups, Christian Africans etc.) are utterly bemused at how Islamic immigrants could behave this way, scorning the generosity of those helping them.

Most people simply have no clue about Islamic culture, which is thoroughly intertwined with Islamic religion. The problem is the religious ethics. To use an oft-repeated statement, it is a clash of cultures.

Caveat: the integrated Muslim community

I have written a paper explaining this. Until about 1997, when Blair opened the floodgates to Islamic immigration to destabilise the population,¹⁰ immigration of Muslim people usually led to integration and beneficial multiculturalism. Indian Muslims from Hyderabad, Pakistani Muslims and Islamists from Middle East nations came in reasonable numbers and generally assimilated because they were motivated to obtain a better life. The vast majority of these were secular, liberal or even atheist Muslims. They were Islamic by social status and culture but not strict readers of the Qur'an or Hadith. They may refuse to eat pork, or even forgo liqueur, but Islam was relegated to social customs, such as weddings or funerals.

Now this group of people made fine citizens in the main and British people wrongly believed that this was normal Islamism. It is not. Indeed, such folk would be deemed apostates ('takfir') by Islamic clerics and Muhammad demanded that such apostates be killed.¹¹ The Muslims that kill and behead kafirs (infidels) are not radical Islamists (as often claimed) they are simply followers of the Qur'an and are obeying the prophet.

In this essay I am not indicting these secular Muslims; Islamists by culture. Such Muslims integrate into British society and should not be tarred with the same brush as salafists (fundamentalists).

⁵ Estimates are 12-13% of prisoners in England and Wales alone. This is about 11,000 people.

⁶ Ministry of Justice, figures for 2021-23. See summary in The Daily Sceptic, Will Jones, 'Foreigners convicted of nearly a quarter of UK sex crimes', 10 March 2025.

⁷ The actual number is unknown. Some (Elon Musk) have claimed over a million; others over 250,000.

⁸ 90% of 43,000 extremists on MI5 list are Islamist terror suspects. Daily Mail, 23 June 2020.

⁹ Committed by a second generation Rwandan immigrant with terrorist publications and a small ricin factory.

¹⁰ The acceleration of the destruction of British culture began in earnest with the changes made by Blair. These include: rampant immigration from third world countries, creating a supreme court, the devolution of Britain into semi-independent regions, emphasis upon the influence of unelected NGOs on government policies, corporatisation of state functions, focus on human rights laws etc.

¹¹ Bukhari 8.82.797.

Islamic civilisations

It is a historic fact that Islamic nations did not develop into successful, economically sound societies on their own. In fact, many were not just barbaric but were backward. Arabia, for example, was primitive even in the early 20th century. Most people lived in tents, many lived an itinerant tribal life. Towns and cities were primitive with few amenities. It never bothered to develop a widespread irrigation system to water the desert. It was only with the discovery of oil and the foreign investment of Western petro-chemical corporations that wealth and innovation poured into Arabia (and other nations) enabling developments achieved by Western ingenuity. Modern successful Islamic nations, such as in Southeast Asia, have followed Western principles and invited Western investment and expertise.

Even the golden ages of Islamic cultures, such as that in Spain in the 8th – 13th centuries, were achieved not by indigenous Muslims but by dhimmis;¹² usually Christian and Jewish scholars, administrators, poets, teachers, artists, sculptors and architects.

The Muslim abhorrence of anything kafir foolishly led to the repeated destruction of libraries of conquered people.¹³ Thousands of years of wisdom encapsulated in books that could have greatly helped Islam were burned. Scholars that escaped Muslim advances from the East that took as many books with them as possible led to the resurgence of Classical wisdom that facilitated the European Renaissance. That Renaissance could have led to the development of Islamic culture; instead they refused it.

Such self-inflicting damage is only explicable by the relentless adherence to the religious principles laid down by Muhammad. Even though it is counter-productive and self-damaging, the ties to Qu'ranic laws demand such unwise action.

This is hardly surprising since Muhammad himself was illiterate (I say this with respect, it is a fact actually applauded by Islamist scholars who treat his recitations as revealed supernatural wisdom, over and above human rationality). Muhammad centred on divine revelation, through him, and thus despised the human wisdom of non-Muslims centred in books. The Qur'an was recited by Muhammad and written down later by others.

There was no sound educational system similar to that of classical cultures or those in the West, instead the whole of life centred on religious revelation and studying the works of Muhammad and those who knew him and wrote about him. The centre of education was religious. So Islam was reliant upon dhimmis to provide what was lacking in culture.

Since there was not a strong economic education being supplied (no Islamic nation ever generated an Adam Smith or an Austrian School) Islamic nations were intrinsically economically weak. In order to achieve prosperity they relied upon raiding and conquest. This too followed the example of Muhammad who was a raider of caravans and local regions. It also continued the necessity of slavery to meet the demand for labour.

Now this served a double purpose. Firstly, it stole all the resources of the conquered nation; especially slaves and wealth. Secondly, it served the crusading religious purpose

¹² Second class citizens in Islamic nations; just above slaves. They were tolerated but were taxed at higher rates and used in state administration.

¹³ E.g. the burning of the great library at Alexandria by order of Caliph Umar ibn al-Khattab. Library burning continues to this day, such as by ISIS burning books in Iraq's libraries.

since the obligation of the Muslim is to subdue the whole world to Allah ('Islam' means submission). After Muhammad, Islamic leaders (sultans, caliphs) developed their populations into a nearly unstoppable warring force. They conquered eastwards as far as India and the borders of China. They pushed westwards as far as the gates of Vienna and invaded France.¹⁴ Certain military heroes, such as Charles Martel in 732 at the Battle of Tours, stopped them in their tracks. Other events, such as the Crusades, also hindered the westward expansion. Nevertheless, the Balkans were continually raided, conquered and occupied for centuries.¹⁵ Raids by the Barbary pirates constantly pillaged villages and kidnapped slaves, even from the Cornwall coast, until the mid-19th century.

The history of Islamic advancements is a story of theft in order to make up the gap in zero economic development.¹⁶ But this also serves the purpose of jihad – to forcefully submit kafir nations to Islam.

It should be remembered that the Islamic conquests were brutal and merciless. Frequently, appalling war crimes would be committed in a certain city in order to terrify all the other cities into surrender without a fight. This tactic worked. The warlord Timur Lenk (Tamerlane; c.1320-1405) was an expert in cruelty and brutality. Not only did he kill everyone in certain cities but he erected towers of severed heads cemented together as high as 15 feet to promote fear. In this way Muslim conquests killed over 700 million people in 1400 years.

Now all this is not radical in terms of Islamism; it is normal Qur'anic behaviour if you are focused on obeying the prophet. As a wedding gift to his child bride,¹⁷ Muhammad had his men behead 80 Jews in front of her aged 12 in Medina, which took all day.

Here is just a sample of Muhammad's demands to kill kafirs. There are over 109 such texts.

Slay the idolaters wherever you find them, and take them captive and besiege them and lie in wait for them in every ambush.

Qur'an 9:5.

Fight those who do not believe in Allah.

Qur'an 9:29.

The Jews and the Christians are perverts; fight them.

Qur'an 9:30.

It is not for a Prophet that he should have prisoners of war until he had made a great slaughter in the land.

Qur'an 8:67.

Oh you who believe fight those of the unbelievers who are near to you and let them find in you hardness.

Qur'an 9:123.

¹⁴ The Ottoman army was defeated by the Holy Roman Empire and the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth.

¹⁵ Note the legend surrounding the story of Vlad the Impaler's resistance.

¹⁶ In the later 20th century this changed with the discovery of oil and the development of the tourist trade. Middle East nations then became rich.

¹⁷ Ayesha was betrothed aged six in 620 and consummated in marriage aged nine in 623. Muhammad was aged 53 when the marriage was consummated.

When we wish to destroy a town ... we destroy it with utter destruction.

Qur'an 17:16.

Punish the kafirs with garments of fire, hooked iron rods, boiling water; melt their skin and bellies.

Qur'an 22:19.

We verily shall urge thee on against them, then they will be your neighbours in it but a little while. Accursed, they will be seized wherever found and slain with a fierce slaughter.

Qur'an 33:60-62. ['Slaughter' is translated as 'merciless murder' or 'horrible murder' in some versions.]

Those who disbelieve follow falsehood ... So when you meet those who disbelieve smite at their necks [behead them] till when you have killed and wounded many of them ... Those who are killed in the Way of Allah, he will never let their deeds be lost.

Qur'an 47:3-4.

Surely Allah loves those who fight in his cause.

Qur'an 61:4.

Ibn Ishaq / Hisham 990 – 'Cutting off someone's head while shouting "Allahu Akbar" is an Islamic tradition that began with Muhammad.'

Ibn Ishaq / Hisham 992 – 'Fight everyone in the way of Allah and kill those who disbelieve in Allah.'

Implications for Muslim immigration into the West

Politicians talk about radical Islam (i.e. as a minor extremist sect) being the problem and claim that Islam is a religion of peace; they are lying.

All Muslims are commanded to kill kafirs; this is the main part of jihad.¹⁸ Kafirs are not the only ones to be killed; apostate Muslims are to be executed as well for deserting proper submission to Allah.

The policy of allowing and encouraging Muslim immigrants who are more devoted to the literal obedience of the Qur'an than settled Muslims is something that is certainly going to damage the country; it is terrorism waiting to happen.

The killing of children in Southport is not the action of a psychologically sick person; it is normal Islam as commanded by Muhammad because children of kafirs are from kafirs.

The prophet ... was asked whether it was permissible to attack the pagan warriors at night with the probability of exposing their women and children to danger. The prophet replied, "They are from them."

Bukhari 52:256. [I.e. it is permissible to kill women and children in attacking pagans.]

In this context we can understand the rape gang mentality. The Qur'an plainly teaches that kafir women are fair game, and sex-slaves are acceptable and normal. From the beginning

¹⁸ The claim that jihad is only the internal struggle for purity is a lie. This is a part of Islam, but it is a small part compared to the violent fight for worldwide submission that dominates the Qur'an.

Islam had sex-slaves as a central focus. Muhammad had sex-slaves as well as concubines and child-wives. Soldiers were rewarded by taking many sex slaves from conquered cities; those they didn't use were sold.

Thus White, Christian girls and women mean nothing to Muslims. Indeed, even Muslim women are only worth half of a man in Sharia law and according to Muhammad. Kafir women are worth nothing at all and can be used or disposed of as a Muslim sees fit. This explains the mentality of the rape gangs predated on young girls with no remorse. They are obeying their prophet. Rape is thus a part of jihad – it destabilises a kafir nation. When dozens of women were raped in Cologne by Muslim gangs this was acceptable Qur'anic treatment of kafir females. In fact Muslim men claim that the wearing of skimpy clothes by Western girls invites rape because they are used to fully covered women. Scantily-clad women must be harlots.

The double purpose of destroying a non-Islamic country, as I explained, is to steal all the wealth and to perform jihad. Settled secular Muslims seek to better themselves and build a life here. They educate their children and establish small businesses (the backbone of the economy). In short, they contribute to the economic development of the nation.

This is not the case with most of the illegal immigrants that come in their hundreds of thousands every year (1 in 12 Londoners are illegal immigrants).¹⁹ They seek to live off benefits (steal the wealth) and to plan for jihad in their free time because they have no job. Studies have shown that each illegal immigrant costs hundreds of thousands to the taxpayer in a few years. The claim that such immigration benefits the nation is a lie. Every illegal immigrant that lives to 80-years old costs £1 million. Currently welfare payments to immigrants cost over £7.5 billion a year.²⁰ Immigrant sickness benefit costs another £1 billion.²¹

The immigration open border policy is literally insane and is only explicable by nefarious reasons. Illegal Muslim immigrants cost millions of pounds and is the importation of military-aged young men who are determined to destroy the nation and replace it with a Sharia caliphate. How insane do politicians have to be?

Conclusion

Britain is now a divided nation. In the next decade or so it will require 15 new Birmingham-sized cities to house the population of immigrants. As the fertility of indigenous Britons collapses, immigrant families are encouraged by Imams to have as many children as possible. Bankrupt Birmingham and other towns are already more than 50% immigrants.

There are two cultures now present in Britain. The historic Christian culture established the principles of liberty, democracy, duty, respect, representative government, a stable economy, free artistic expression, free speech and all the things that make for a stable, cultured society. The imported Salafist Islamic culture is kafir-hating, barbaric and cruel. Their commitment to jihad means destroying British culture and killing all non-Muslims. This is not hyperbole; it is a fact demonstrated by their sacred texts and history.

¹⁹ Research commissioned by Thames Water.

²⁰ GB News, Daily Express, 17 March 2025.

²¹ Daily Mail, 23 March 2025.

The likelihood of increasing tension leading to civil war between these two civilisations is virtually certain. Indeed, a professor and political advisor to the MOD and GCHQ recently stated that civil war in Britain is only five years away caused by the government's failure to secure the border.²² The riots after the Southport killings showed that Muslim communities are ready and willing to take up swords, knives and bats at a moment's notice and terrorise local neighbourhoods. The fact that they could beat up young people with no police intervention (there was a police presence) is shocking.

That politicians could initiate and continue the policy of welcoming illegal Islamic immigrants, virtually all young fighting-age men, is inconceivable were it not a fact. This means that such politicians are either unbelievably ignorant and stupid or they are following a prior agenda intent on destroying Britain from within. You choose which.

Some statistics

Costs and numbers

- One in four people in UK set to be a migrant by 2025 costing £234 billion. [MSN.]
- There are now more illegal immigrants in the UK than soldiers in the UK army. [The Sun, 14 March 2025.]
- 'Boriswave' of migrant families will cost taxpayers £35 billion. [MSN.]
- 70% of illegal migrants since 2018 have been adult males. [The Independent, 13 February 2025.]
- In the year ending June 2023 there were 52,530 illegal migrants entering the UK; 85% arrived on dinghys. [Gov.UK, government, statistics, irregular migration.]
- Ministers admit that they do not know the true scale of illegal immigration. By 2019 former Home Office personnel suggest that there are over one million. An ex-head of border enforcement claims that 150,000 migrants enter without permission every year. Each additional person costs between £4,255 and £7,820 per year. Border staff state that due to insufficient funding security at ports is resourced to fail. [Migration Watch.]
- The long-term cost of benefits for illegal migrants is £1.6 billion per year. [London School of Economics.]
- In the two years to June 2023 nearly 2.3 million people arrived in the UK. 979,000 left. [Full Fact.]
- In 2023 1.2 million people migrated to the UK; 532,000 left, resulting in a net migration of 685,000. [Statista.]
- The asylum cost is nearly £8 million per day on housing alone, and an annual cost of £3.96 billion a year (2023). [Hansard, Illegal immigration: costs, vol 749, 7 May 2024. Dame Andrea Jenkyns.]
- Only 1.9% of illegal migrants arrive with a passport. [Hansard, Illegal immigration: costs, vol 749, 7 May 2024. Dame Andrea Jenkyns.]

Examples of attacks

- Emily Jones, a 7-year old from Bolton, was stabbed to death by an Albanian national in March 2020.
- Lorraine Cox, aged 32, was murdered in Exeter in September 2020 by an Iraqi Kurd.

²² David Betz, YouTube interview with Louise Perry, 'The coming British civil war'.

- David Wails, Joe Ritchie-Bennett and James Furlong were murdered in Reading town centre in June 2020 by a Libyan national as an act of jihad.
- Terence Carney (70) was stabbed to death in the street by a Moroccan asylum seeker.

PAUL FAHY © COPYRIGHT 2025
UNDERSTANDING-MINISTRIES.COM