

Sanity on the Skripal Affair

Introduction

I was avoiding writing on this issue but I have been asked for my opinion. Since this has become an extremely serious international issue, with grave potential consequences, I have decided to offer my analysis.

Background

The west seeks to provoke Russia to war

I have long explained that the global elite seek a third world war. They are seeking war between the west and Syria, Iran, Russia and China. This is considered to be the final solution that would enable the development of a totalitarian world empire.

Military intervention has already occurred against states that do not have a Rothschild central banking system (Iraq, Libya, and Syria). Those that have been overcome now have one or are in the process of getting one. These are also nations that have protected Christians, which have now been persecuted in a genocide perpetrated by US proxies (ISIS, al Nusra etc.) and NATO missile strikes.

Russia and China are the great powers that stand in the way of the western globalists. Russia is a Christian state led by a Christian with acute political senses. China is a quasi-Communist system that is now virtually capitalist with a large Christian population that is just about tolerated and sometimes persecuted. Both Russia and China threaten the globalists as a result of their: power, resources, wealth, banking systems, trade blocs and economic alternatives. China is already the de facto largest economic power-house while the Russia-China partnership in various areas (banking, trade, oil supply, military trade etc.) threatens the globalist cabal, and the US in particular.

The US and NATO have continued to ramp up the threat against Russia, which Putin has showed both patience and skill in dealing with. This threat consists of:

- The destabilisation by the CIA Gladio exercise in Ukraine resulting in a coup to create a government allied to NATO and a threat to Russia. This is contrary to existing treaties whereby NATO and the EU promised not to expand their influence eastwards. Putin's moves, such as the referendum in the Crimea, were adept and stopped the immediate threat of war. One must remember that both Ukraine and Crimea were historically close to Russia, part of Russia or part of the USSR.¹ The Russian people began in the Crimea (the Kievan Rus).² Russian is the majority language in Crimea and a significant part of Ukraine.³ The western media has continually pictured this as a hostile westward

¹ Ukraine was united with Russia, with the capital at Kiev, in the 9th century. After a period of division between Poland, Russia, and the Ottoman Empire it was reunited with Russia in 1785. Briefly independent following the 1917 revolution, it became one of the original constituent republics (and the third largest) of the USSR. In 1991, on the break-up of the Soviet Union, Ukraine became an independent republic within the Commonwealth of Independent States.

² In the 9th century the house of Rurik began to dominate the eastern Slavs, establishing the first all-Russian state with its capital at Kiev. This powerful state accepted Christianity in about 985. The original Russians were Norse Vikings.

³ Crimea is a peninsula of the Ukraine lying between the Sea of Azov and the Black Sea. It was settled by Goths, Huns, Khazars, Greeks, Tartars, Russians, and Ukrainians but became a khanate of the Turkic-

annexation by Russia but the reverse is true. Russia was defending its frontiers and its historic lands which the EU and NATO had no place in.

- Encircling Russia with a series of military and missile bases from Eastern Europe to the South China Sea and Northern Australia. In some cases it has occupied Russian land (as it occupies Syria). In addition, the US has continually initiated military exercises from these bases to threaten Russia; particularly in Eastern Europe and even Finland.
- A huge propaganda war in the media. This would include the 'Russia-gate' hysteria in America, which has now formally been declared non-existent, as I have stated for over a year.⁴ The current crisis is part of this propaganda war.

Previous case

Britain has form on ramping up diplomatic tensions after the death of a Russian.

The famous example is Alexander Litvinenko, a former officer of the FSB, who was killed by Polonium-210 poisoning in London in 2006. This was immediately blamed on Russia without any evidence.

After years of research a number of independent investigators discovered many unusual things about Litvinenko, claiming that he was a mole in the transport of illegal substances, including Polonium. This was part of his work with the Mafia. It was then speculated that Litvinenko was poisoned as a result of contamination of a substance he was illegally carrying.

This was never properly investigated but the establishment screamed that Putin was to blame; despite no evidence to support this.

What actually happened?

The poisoning

On 4 March 2018 Sergei Skripal and his daughter Yulia were poisoned while dining out in Salisbury, either in a Zizzi restaurant or a nearby pub (or possibly at his wife's grave, or possibly a handbag – the list goes on). It is claimed that the toxic agent was a form of nerve chemical either inhaled or absorbed through the skin.

We should note that the British government has given no explanation about the medical facts regarding the poisoning, nor explained why such a dangerous substance only affected two people in a crowded place (see next). Why did the authorities require positive pressure suits and self-contained rebreathers when hordes of local people were present at the time and did not fall sick? Where is the CCTV of the person planting the poison?

What if the poisoning was a suicide attempt? Why is that not a possibility? Skripal is old and sick. His wife died a few years ago, son died recently and he is separated from old friends and family and has become depressed. Why is suicide not suspected?

speaking Tatar people in the 13th century. In 1921 it became an autonomous republic of the Russian republic. Under Stalin it was transferred to the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic. Following the break-up of the Soviet Union in 1991 the Crimea became an autonomous republic of Ukraine, but its predominantly Russian-speaking population always favoured secession from the Ukraine and union with Russia.

⁴ Republican Representative Mike Conway, overseer of the Congressional probe on Russiagate (collusion with Russia in the election campaign), has confirmed that the committee is closing its investigations with the conclusion that there was no collusion. This effectively confirms all that Trump has said on the matter. This means that the entire intelligence community was wrong; note that. This reflects very badly on US intelligence, the FBI, the DNC, Obama, and the Clintons. Good reason to get rid of Skripal.

Who was Skripal and what are his connections?

Skripal was a former colonel in the Russian military intelligence service (GRU) and a double agent. He was recruited by MI-6 agent Pablo Miller in the early 1990s in Estonia. The MI-6 officer under diplomatic cover in Moscow at this time was Christopher Steele (author of the fake Trump dossier). This should ring alarm bells.

Steele returned to London running MI-6's Russian desk between 2006 and 2009. Skripal's information would have been given to Steele, both in Moscow and London.

Skripal was arrested in 2004. In 2006 he was convicted of treason and sentenced to 18 years. In 2010 he was released as part of a prisoner exchange deal and went to live in Britain. If the Russian government had wanted him dead, he would have been executed like former double agents.

In Britain Skripal became friendly with Pablo Miller, who is now working with Orbis Business Intelligence and also lives in Salisbury. Steele also now works for this company.

Where is the secret service information about Skripal's movements since every move he made would have been monitored?⁵

The toxic agent

The toxic agent is claimed, by Porton Down, to be Novichok,⁶ a type of nerve agent once made in the USSR in the 1970s, according to defector Vil Mirzanyanov. It was allegedly produced at the Soviet State Scientific Research Institute for Organic Chemistry and Technology at Nukus, Uzbekistan.

Since its independence in 1991, Uzbekistan has been working with the USA to dismantle and decontaminate the sites where Novichok was allegedly tested and developed. The USA is certain to have samples, which means that Porton Down would know how to make it and have samples also. Note that the agent was not made in Russia but in a state ally of the US.

Mirzanyanov, however, avers that Russia continued testing and production of Novichok even after signing the Chemical Weapons Convention in 1993.

Observation

Novichok is claimed by Mirzanyanov to be five to eight times more potent than VX nerve gas, itself deadly. A small amount would be enough to kill entire streets of people. How come the Skripals are still alive? How come half of Salisbury is not dead?

If traces of this stuff are still present, according to the government, why were the public merely told to wipe personal items with a baby wipe? This is ridiculous. Something is very wrong here.

There are also statements (that I cannot verify) that a female doctor arrived at the event location immediately before the authorities and she was not affected by any poison. Why not? It is also affirmed by witnesses that the Skripals left the restaurant in an agitated state and arguing. They were not debilitated.

⁵ MI-6 Whistleblower Annie Machon, RT, Crosstalk.

⁶ Actually a group of substances.

Russian facts

Russia actually stopped the production of Novichok some time ago and destroyed all its reserves. This has been confirmed by the international observers of the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW).

There are other issues that are confusing. Some are alleging that the Novichok substance may not exist at all.⁷

In 2016 a leading chemist, Dr Robin Black, Head of the Detection Laboratory at the Defence Science and Technology Laboratory at Porton Down, doubted that the Novichok chemicals existed.⁸ He emphasised that there is no independent confirmation of Mirzanyanov's claims. This finding was published in a scientific journal which stated that the evidence for it was scant and its composition unknown.⁹ This contradicts Mirzanyanov's statements, who published the formula (see next).

In addition, the Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) of the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons has not recognised Novichoks as chemical weapons due to scant evidence that they exist. The US and the UK, who are both members of this organisation, agreed with this evaluation.¹⁰

The leading scientists not only affirm that there is no proof that the Novichok groups of chemicals exist as chemical weapons, but imply that the Russian Novichok programme never existed, which is what Putin has affirmed. So Putin's stance is backed by leading scientists but Theresa May's stance is only backed by a discredited Russian dissident.

Why did Britain officially originally agree that there is little proof of its existence as a weapon but now avers that it is a Russian chemical weapon that has been used on British soil? Why has not the media highlighted this discrepancy?

American facts

As stated, America has access to the toxin via its work with Uzbekistan.

Furthermore, the man who revealed the Novichok programme, Vil Mirzanyanov, published the chemical formula that enables any laboratory to reproduce the substance(s). However, the scientific community never accepted the existence of the alleged substance while the Russian government also stated that it knew nothing of the programme.¹¹

Mirzanyanov claimed that there is a fourth generation of nerve agents called Novichoks (meaning 'newcomer') which was developed in Russia as part of the Foliant programme. Note that the data and claims only come from Russian dissidents.

⁷ I acknowledge some help from Moon of Alabama, 'Are Novichok poisons real?', 14 March 2018.

⁸ Paul McKeigue, Professor of Statistical Genetics and Genetic Epidemiology at Edinburgh University; Piers Robinson, Professor of Politics, Society and Journalism at Sheffield University, 'Doubts about Novichoks' a briefing note; see also the former British Ambassador Craig Murray.

⁹ Robin Black, Development, Historical Use and Properties of Chemical Warfare Agents, Royal Society of Chemistry (2016).

¹⁰ OPCW, 'The SAB states that it has insufficient information to comment on the existence or properties of "Novichoks"', Report of the Scientific Advisory Board on Developments in Science and Technology for the Third Review Conference, p3, section 8, 2013.

¹¹ Vil S Mirzanyanov, 'State Secrets: an insider's chronicle of the Russian chemical weapons programme', Outskirts Press Inc. (2008). The book had terrible reviews and was accused of being waffle. If the formulas were true, why would any conscionable person publish them for terrorists to make?

You should also be aware that Mirzanyanov now lives in a million dollar home in Princeton, New Jersey. Doesn't any of this worry you?

British facts

Nerve agents are extremely dangerous to handle or transport. Very often people carrying them to an intended target end up dying themselves. This is one reason why they are rarely used. Thus the use of nerve agents for an assassination usually require only travelling a short distance from a safe receptacle area.

Britain is the leading manufacturer of chemical and biological weapons; it developed VX for example. These are stored and tested upon in Porton Down, which is only 12 km away from Salisbury, or a twenty-minute drive. Isn't that convenient?

There has been no independent confirmation of the claimed facts on this issue, only a government statement that Porton Down identified the agent as one of the Novichok chemicals. If Theresa May wants to attack Russia, an unbiased, independent examination should be necessary.

Also, since international experts have expressed doubt that Novichoks even exist, how is it that Porton Down identified this substance and affirmed where it came from in days? Some analysts are openly stating that this claim cannot be true.¹²

It should be noted that Porton Down has historically showed no qualms in contaminating soldiers and the public for human testing. Soldiers were tested with Sarin for example; how insane is that? The police investigation into this was called Operation Antler, which showed that nerve agent trials went on as late as 1989. On one occasion the South East Coast was sprayed with a flu virus to test the effects and potential use in warfare on a local population.

Allegation

RT gave airtime to a claim that the Skripals were drug abusers who became ill after taking fentanyl, an opioid drug that killed 60 people in the UK last year.

Added problem

After the Skripal incident, Russian businessman Nikolai Glushkov, a critic of Putin, was found dead of strangulation in his home in the UK in New Malden. He had been charged twice with fraud in Russia. The media were quick to place the blame on Putin.

Who are the suspects?

The global elite chief suspect is the Russian State, centred in Putin.

Another logical possibility is an independent Russian group with access to the nerve agent that is not linked to Putin.

Another logical possibility is a false flag. That is a state or powerful group with access to the toxin that is opposed to Russia and initiated the attack pretending that it was Russian in order to further provoke Putin towards war. In this case, the prime suspect would be MI6.

Until clear evidence is supplied, all three suspects must remain possibilities and there is nothing to charge one or another.

¹² E.g. former British Ambassador Craig Murray.

A previous false flag

The Deep State has performed stunts like this several times, often to its own disadvantage.

One case was in the USA in 2001. Envelopes with Anthrax spores were sent to certain politicians and some died. The FBI immediately blamed Al-Qaeda, however, investigation showed that the type of Anthrax had originated in a US biological weapons laboratory. The Deep State was guilty of a false flag. The case is officially unsolved but the evidence points to corruption.

The rule of law

Evidence before guilt

Parliament is the pinnacle of the rule of law in Britain. It should set a prime example of how the law is upheld and promoted.

The law in Britain is that a suspect is innocent until proved guilty. Guilt must be established by clear evidence, such as testimony or hard forensic facts. Allegations and circumstantial evidence are not enough to prove guilt (unless the circumstantial evidence is overwhelming and there is motive).

Motive

Did Putin have motive to do this?

Absolutely not; it was not in Russian interests at all. In fact Putin had recently praised President Trump and was trying to soothe the waters in international diplomacy. Why would he ruin this with a supposed tit-for-tat vengeance attack for a historic crime from many years ago? Why would he jeopardise delicate international geo-politics to attack a single person?

In fact, if he hated Skripal so much why did he not kill him on Russian soil many years ago when he had the chance? No one in the west would have complained then. Why pardon him from jail?

If Putin wanted to kill Skripal why would he use the very substance that would point to Russia?

Putin is not so stupid to do something like this.

International treaties

Russian Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov explained that Russia would not respond to May's lunatic demands (see next) until the UK complies with the demands of the Convention of the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons. This demands joint investigation into cases like this. May has breached international law.

Since Skripal is officially a Russian citizen, this is even more appropriate.

Theresa May's actions

Theresa May condemned Russia for the attack in Parliament claiming that it was culpable but gave no evidence for her claim. Her claim was that only Russia can make this toxin so the culprit is either Putin directly, or he last lost control over his chemical weapons (that he denies having).

Unfortunately for May, anyone with a computer and a credit card can find out how to make this agent. Vil Mirzayanov's book is available on Amazon for \$8 in which he shows how the

substance is easily made from commonly available materials.¹³ This extremely embarrassing faux par should be front-page news, but not a chance.

She then threatened Russia, giving it 24 hours to respond, which of course Russia refused to do (nothing to respond to). Then she announced that 23 Russian diplomats would be expelled from the country and bilateral talks suspended. While this is considered a serious diplomatic row, it is of small beer to Putin.

However, the government has stated that it is considering a cyber attack on Russia, which Russian diplomats have given severe warnings about. It also threatened removal of the broadcasting license for RT.

I am shocked and appalled that Theresa May has abandoned the rule of British Common law and international treaties that we are bound by to obey her globalist lords and masters to ramp up the propaganda war against Russia. Also how can a fair investigation now occur since the PM herself has declared who is guilty? Any trial is prejudiced.

It is a disgrace that a sitting Prime Minister should ignore law, in Parliament itself, and place guilt upon a foreign sovereign power (the prime nuclear power at that) while issuing deadlines of mere hours (illegally) and threats of sanctions.

This is sufficient action for a Prime Minister to be deposed and a vote of no confidence be initiated.

This action could easily lead Britain into war with a sovereign power that has the ability to destroy the entire country with one Sartan II intercontinental ballistic missile. It is insane.

Even France initially accused May of attacking Russia without evidence, calling it '*fantasy politics*'.¹⁴ France initially resisted calls for punitive action against Russia until it has proof that Russia was behind the attack. Quite sensible.

Jeremy Corbin also questioned May's evidence insisting that lies and false evidence were used in the past to take us to war in Iraq and to bomb Libya to devastating effect. For this he has been lampooned.

Russian response

Russia repeatedly asked Britain for proof of the claim and also for samples of the nerve toxin so that they could analyse it. (In the past claims of chemical attacks, e.g. in Syria, later proved to be chemical agents that came from somewhere other than the claimed perpetrator). Britain refused to hand over samples.

Russia even demanded samples in the United Nations assembly.¹⁵

The Chemical Weapons Convention stipulates that such incidents are subject to joint investigations. This convention was abused by May.

Moscow also denied any participation in the incident.

¹³ Organophosphates from fertilisers and pesticides.

¹⁴ Benjamin Griveaux, spokesman for President Emmanuel Macron.

¹⁵ Vassily Nebenzia 14 March 2018.

The UK ambassador to Russia, Laurence Bristow, was summoned to the ministry of foreign affairs where first deputy PM Vladimir Titov protested that threats and lack of evidence, insisting that Russia was not involved. He also demanded a joint investigation, according to treaty requirements.

The Russian Defence spokeswoman Maria Zakharova warned that you don't give threats and 24 hours notice to a nuclear power. She added that Britain had not used a single international legal mechanism to probe the incident and affirmed that Britain had cooked the incident up to discredit Russia.

Russia also insisted that any punitive measures against it will result in a response. Since Russia is a key supplier of gas to Britain, it is insane to issue unsubstantiated threats.

American response

President Trump was quick to offer support to Theresa May but held back from directly blaming Russia. However, Nikki Haley, the demented Zionist puppet American ambassador to the UN went further (as usual).

At an emergency UN Security Council session, Haley demanded '*immediate and concrete measures*' against Russia. She claimed that the incident amounted to a chemical warfare attack on the UK and that the reputation of the UN was at stake. She then suggested that the next Russian chemical attack could be on New York in a ridiculous tirade of imagination. Russia correctly criticised this as hysterical.

History of assassinations

It should be borne in mind that in the history of political assassinations, Britain, Israel and the US have a far worse record than Russia.¹⁶

Between 1970 and 2013 there have been 758 assassinations world-wide; only 8% occurred in Russia.

The US heads up the assassination of political opponents, which the CIA has been adept in. More US presidents have been assassinated than any other country. There have also been three assassination attempts that failed (Theodore Roosevelt in 1912, FD Roosevelt in 1933, and Ronald Reagan in 1981). Prominent outspoken people who spoke against the establishment were often conveniently killed, such as General G Patton, James Forrestal, John G Winant, William Colby.

In recent years 12 US political figures have been murdered or found dead in suspicious circumstances including three Senators, Congressmen, Federal Prosecutors, one Federal judge, a state governor.

The EU also records more assassinations than Russia.

Drones

While May is incensed about an attack on two people that are not dead, she conveniently ignores British involvement in drone assassinations by NATO that routinely kills innocent people. The list of assassinated civilians is now in the hundreds.

¹⁶ Ethnic European, Mike Walsh, 'Britain, Israel and the US have a far worse record of assassination than Russia', 15 March 2018.

Missile assassinations

Britain is also guilty of killing multiple innocent civilians in missile strikes claimed to be directed at terrorists. The number is now in the thousands, affecting innocents in Iraq, Libya and Syria.

Yemen

Britain is also culpable in the genocide going on in Yemen since it supplies the rogue Saudi Arabian state with jets, missiles, training and other resources.

Summary

Britain is certainly guilty of multiple atrocities and assassinations proved by hard evidence, including video footage and local assessment by judges. Yet it has brought the nation close to a war footing with a nuclear power (that even provides some of our gas) by accusing it of a chemical attack on two people that did not die and where no evidence was supplied.

A more stupid abuse of power cannot be imagined.

Some connections

The mainstream media ignored this but independent sources pointed out that Skripal was an associate of Pablo Miller and Christopher Steele, former MI-6 agents working for Orbis Business Intelligence. This is a connection too far.

Steele was the author of the 'dodgy dossier' used by the Democrats and Clinton to defame Trump on the election campaign. Lurid accusations were spread over the media to castigate Trump.

However, though Clinton paid hundreds of thousands for this dossier, it proved to be completely fraudulent; nothing but a fabrication.

It seems that Skripal is in a position to corroborate the lies in the dossier. The global elite, therefore, would certainly want to see Skripal silenced before he can talk.

Assassination does two things at once, it shuts Skripal up and gives a foundation to ramp up Russo-phobia, which is now diminishing in the US after the Russiagate scandal has been proved fake.

Where is the media investigation of Steele and his connections in this? Where is Steele's deposition? Why are politicians ignoring a clear connection?

Who benefits?

Not Russia

Russia doesn't benefit, especially in a time of presidential elections. It makes no sense that Putin, so politically adept, would do something so stupid.

Not Russian billionaires

Even though these are not connected to Putin, and they hate him, they would not do anything so stupid either. It would ruin their peace and stability in Britain and may result in their assets being frozen. It makes no sense for them to do this. Billionaires do not threaten their financial security. Apart from that, the nerve agent requires a state sponsor not a businessman.

Also note that the Tory party received £820,000 in donations from Russian oligarchs.

The global elite, based in US Neocons working through MI-6

The elite's plans are behind target and are falling apart.

Trump was not supposed to win. Despite election fraud favouring Clinton, the massive swing to Trump overpowered the fix. This was a shock. Worse still, Trump is delivering on domestic policy and may easily secure another term. He won on DACA.¹⁷ He is deregulating to aid commerce. He triumphed over Russiagate, he won on NAFTA and tariffs, he fired Neocon plant Tillerson. His son-in-law and Zionist agent Kushner has had his top-secret credentials downgraded. He has got Black unemployment down to its lowest levels. He has got women's unemployment down to its lowest levels in recent years. He is bringing manufacturing jobs back to America. He has challenged China's primacy in trade deals. Trump is even meeting with Kim Jong-Un. In fact he has done more for Americans in one year than Obama did in eight.

Brexit was not supposed to happen. Again the establishment did not realise the huge scope of anti-EU and anti-immigration feeling in the country. They are desperately trying to overturn it by every means possible but still fear the British withdrawal, which could be the beginning of the EU house of cards falling down. A majority (or very sizeable minority) in France, Germany, Hungary, Greece, the Czech Republic, Poland, The Netherlands and Denmark want out of the EU right now. Politicians cannot give them a referendum as Macron admitted recently.

Syria is still in the hands of Assad and ISIS is nearly finished. This wasn't supposed to happen either. It was Russia that prevented Syria from falling and also for clobbering ISIS. This is just another reason for Zionist Neocons, that seek the downfall of Assad to partition Syria up, to hate Russia.

The Ukraine coup did not start a war with Russia. In fact the elite lost control of the Crimea which was ceded to Russia again. This wasn't supposed to happen. How the elite hate Putin.

Russiagate in America was supposed to trigger war with Russia but this has now been completely debunked at a formal level. Again more reasons for the elite to hate Russia.

Russia goes from strength to strength despite western sanctions, which only hurt the west, especially Germany. China is buying Russian oil and gas and Ukraine will suffer for it. It is also investing in Russian Uranium mining. China, India, Iraq, Syria and even Turkey are buying Russian military products, such as aircraft and missile systems. These are far superior to American products.

The global elite hate Russia with a passion, especially because it is a Christian country that is prospering by following God's principles.

The Deep State alone has the means, the opportunity and the motivation to make this attack. Apart from that, MI-6 fingerprints are all over this affair, yet Parliament and the media never mention this. It is part of the massive propaganda war focused on creating a fear of Russia, to lead up to a hot war. This is how the elite ramped up support for previous wars – by gigantic media propaganda and brainwashing.

¹⁷ A US programme that gives protection to illegal immigrants. Trump announced that he is scrapping it.

Summary of salient facts

- There are claims made in prestigious scientific journals that Novichoks do not exist.
- The claims that Russia is manufacturing such substances come from Russian dissidents living in America.
- It is affirmed that Novichoks were manufactured in Uzbekistan in the 1970s involving the USA in dismantling the operation.
- If they exist at all, it is certain that both the USA and Porton Down have samples.
- There is no peer-reviewed evidence as to what the Skripals are suffering from. Many claim that it is not Novichoks.
- If the toxin was Novichoks, hundreds or even thousands, of Salisbury residents would be dead.
- There is no proof that it was not a suicide attempt. This is a valid line of investigation.
- There is no proof that it was not drug abuse gone wrong. This is a valid line of investigation.
- If it were to be a nerve agent, the list of possible states that could mount such an operation would include: British MI-6, US agencies (they have done this before), Mossad (which has done this before), Russia and other NATO countries. All should be suspects and all investigated.
- In order to trigger a war, the UK government has previously told barefaced lies, promulgated in the media, to get national support against a claimed enemy.
- The multiple links between Skripal and MI-6 needs investigation. Especially as it involves Steele who was a chief source for the movement against Trump.
- Putin has absolutely no motive to poison a traitor in the UK, years after he was exchanged when he could have killed him in Russia, In fact, exchange prisoners are diplomatically immune from assassination as an unwritten rule of espionage; otherwise there would be mayhem killings.
- The public furore created by this serves the global elite's policy of ramping up war with Russia.
- It also assists Theresa May who is losing all public and backbencher support for shoddy negotiations with the EU. This is a significant distraction.

Conclusion

No one can state who actually did this because no concrete evidence has been presented.

The logical thing to do is to investigate and then assess the evidence. However, any fair investigation has now been ruined by the PM's prejudicial assumption of guilt.

What we can say with certainty is that Putin has no motive to do this, especially during a presidential election. Neither is Putin so stupid to perform such a botch-up job. Of all people Putin is the least likely to be responsible.

Those with the greatest motive are the Deep State, the global elite. These have many reasons to initiate such a callous attack, which probably was not by using Novichok chemicals or many more would be affected.

This is a shocking example of the power of propaganda. There is near unanimous support for Theresa May in the media, NATO and parliament when even a schoolboy can see that

there is not a shred of evidence to support her rhetoric. This is a shameful time when intelligence, logic and rational investigation mean less than blanket coverage of a flawed political message. The nation deserves all it gets from this, and that could be serious.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version
© Thomas Nelson 1982

Paul Fahy Copyright © 2018
Understanding Ministries
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>