# **Occasional Thoughts**

1. October 2015
UK paedophiles; free energy; government lies, plastic bags, Putin, chemtrails, migrants.

### Introduction

Herewith I offer a new series of papers that will be entirely arbitrary in content, but connected merely by the processes of my brain.

I often have thoughts on this or that, which some people find helpful, but which rarely get recorded. Sometimes they become the content of email responses and occasionally they turn into articles on various subjects. However, many of the thoughts that involve current affairs or secular issues rarely get put into print. Over time it seems to me that it may have been helpful if these had been recorded.

Now I am not arrogant enough to consider that my thoughts are better than yours or anyone else's. I am nothing at all in this world and on some subjects that I will mention I am a mere beginner compared to scholars and even friends. However, the world is filled with emperors that have no clothes and people who are afraid to point it out. I am not one of these people. Inevitably, then, I will ruffle feathers, provoke, and annoy – but I am good at doing that. If it makes people think for themselves, then there is, at least, some value. Furthermore, I get asked a great deal to offer my thoughts on various matters in emails and these are currently restricted to the addressee.

So, for what it's worth, here are my current thoughts on certain current issues.

# The recent UK paedophile cover-up

Many of you will have seen the recent Panorama programme and media frenzy calling for Tom Watson's blood. The message this sends out to paedophile victims, of whom Watson was one of the few establishment champions, is chilling.

The recent activity of MPs like Tom Watson, Simon Danczuk, Zac Goldsmith and a few others had given paedophile survivors some hope that at last things might change. The recent propaganda will not help them at all.

Before I go any further, you need to understand the terrible fact that there are paedophile networks in high levels of many establishment authorities; Westminster is but one. They exist in the police forces, the judiciary, the armed services, the secret services, high level professionals, the BBC and other media services. Entertainment celebrities are but the tip of the iceberg. Social workers have compiled documentation in large dossiers on this for decades but they get harassed, prosecuted, threatened and their evidence confiscated by Special Branch for standing up for kids. Survivors that speak up get worse treatment; many have been murdered.

Embassies are often the centre of paedophile services for foreign ministers. It has been stated, by at least one FBI whistleblower, that American embassies specialise in paedophile abuse to serve foreign tastes.

The British Secret Services have used child abuse as a means to trap politicians for decades by filming evidence and blackmailing individuals to do the bidding of the elite. Army intelligence and MI5 whistleblowers have given solid testimony and hard evidence to this fact for many years. Some have paid dearly for doing so.

Whistleblowers had warned that a government cover up was on the way several months ago. There had been far too much media attention given to high echelon establishment figures, such as Leon Brittan and Ted Heath, as a result of the excellent work of the Exaro news website. As usual the BBC led the charge to do the establishment's bidding by selective journalism. This programme was shocking, but of course it looked so professional.

Its chief objective was to rubbish the accusations of the victim called 'Nick' who was working with the police and Exaro, and to ruin the reputation of Chris Fay, a paedophile victim campaigner. It went to some trouble to cast doubt on Nick's allegations, even though the police had said that they were credible and had initiated a specific operation into investigating them.

It managed to find another victim that had given evidence and get him to change his mind. They also put words into his mouth to allege that Fay had twisted his testimony and over influenced him. They then tried to devalue the journalism of Exaro by insinuations.

The problem with survivors is that they are usually very psychologically damaged people. What else would you expect if they had been repeatedly raped and beaten as children? Many become alcoholics and drug abusers living on the edge of society, only a few manage to make good.

It is easy to influence and overpower such people; especially if they are threatened by potential prosecution for perverting the cause of justice. It was also easy for undermining the testimony of Chris Fay because of a misdemeanour he had committed in his past; so he was presented as a con-man acting in self-interest. In fact he had long been a social worker directly involved in paedophile cases in London and had had direct access to Carole Kasir,

the co-owner of the Elm Guesthouse where the powerful raped children. Fay had, at personal cost and danger, long campaigned for survivors and victims. At one point he was shot at three times through his kitchen window after ruffling establishment feathers. Another time Fay had a gun held to his face as he was slammed against a wall by a Special Branch officer for continuing to try to help abuse victims. He was told to drop his accusations.

Evidence held by Fay and his colleague Mary Moss was confiscated by the police. The identified victims either committed suicide or disappeared and died in suspicious circumstances, as did Carole Kasir. People should actually examine his own testimony rather than trust the character assassination by the BBC. Fay has gained nothing from his campaigning but trouble.

Exaro was also badly treated. Having been approached to participate in the film they refused after realising how the film would proceed. Exaro is one of the few rays of light being brought by the media into finding the truth about the paedophile scandal and have worked actively with the police, being very careful in their accusations (many would say too careful). Far from being the scandal-mongers that Panorama claimed, Exaro has been very circumspect.

So, after rubbishing Exaro, Fay and the testimony of a few victims, Panorama claimed that the whole thing is bunkum and no establishment paedophile ring exists. Job done! Well, actually, no.

This is a typical psychological stratagem: isolate a witness or two, smear them and say that, therefore, the whole thing is false.

Without going into a thousand items of paedophile conspiracy theories (including many that are very valid) we could mention the following:

- What about the 30 or so active police investigations currently underway regarding paedophile crimes; including those involving establishment figures?
- What about the firm evidence regarding Liberal MP Cyril Smith? The CPS has gone on record saying that, on the basis of evidence recently garnered, he should have been prosecuted while he was still alive.
- What about the large number of MPs that have been convicted or charged with child abuse?
- What about the increasing number of retired policemen that have become whistleblowers? Policemen had been warned to shut up about establishment figures or face losing their jobs and pension. Many of these have now retired and are more forthcoming with testimony and evidence. These have backed up all the things stated by victim witnesses, naming the same high-level names (particularly Brittan and Heath). Some of these have explained that there is a paedophile ring established in the police forces around the country and any exposes would damage the police themselves.
- What about the testimony of barrister Michael Shrimpton that makes exactly the same accusations as the victims and names Heath as a murderer and names MI5 as an accessory? His testimony has been published in a book, on local radio and on YouTube.
- What about previous written evidence naming Heath and Brittan from decades ago? At the time these books / magazines were not challenged nor any action taken against the publishers. For example, Heath never sued David Icke when Icke called him a paedophile and a satanic murderer. Why?

- What about the whistleblowers in the armed services¹ and the secret services?² Many of these have had to flee the country or go into hiding because of a witch-hunt against them after exposing the level of establishment crime. Again, these name the same names.
- What about the hard evidence given to the Metropolitan police? For example, it is alleged that a VHS video was given to them by European authorities which showed establishment figures in a paedophile abuse film. The Met now say they never received it but the foreign authorities have the records showing that they did. There are other examples of missing videos lost by the police.<sup>3</sup>
- What about the Dickens file of high level paedophiles? Although Brittan lost it when he was Home Secretary, why did he not take action since those who saw it stated that there was firm evidence of crimes committed against children? What about portions of the report that have since surfaced which were stolen by Special Branch? One example is the files sent by Barbara Castle to the Bury Messenger newspaper.
- What about the many large reports filed by social workers exposing the paedophile rings that operated in places like North Wales, Kincora, Haut de la Garenne or Islington? Some of these lost their jobs as a result of their campaigns.
- What about the investigations of the senior police officer into Haut de le Garenne in Jersey which led to him being relieved,<sup>4</sup> or the testimony of the Jersey minister that led to him losing his job?<sup>5</sup>
- Why is it that many victims that have come forward to the police to give evidence have been threatened, harassed and victimised again? Many have had to flee their homes to escape police harassment. Why is this necessary? Why is it not being reported?

We could continue in this vein for some time.

Proper journalism would have looked at these things first, especially the evidence given by former police officers that have some public credibility. But no, Panorama's job was to cover-up the scandal, throw people of the scent and demolish the testimony of certain people.

This is how the establishment protects its own.

For more information see:

• Exaro: 'Revealed: Panorama's plans to smear survivors of child abuse'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such as Army Intelligence Officer Brian Gemmel and Army Officer Colin Wallace.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Such as Andrea Davison, a former British intelligence agent who has been fighting to expose paedophiles in power. She alleges that the Intelligence and Security services have files, including photographs and videos, of politicians and VIPs involved in paedophile activities and paedophile rings. Similar files exist on judges, civil servants and police officers. Some files were confiscated from the police by the security services. The paedophile network included members of the police, the judiciary as well as businessmen, solicitors, politicians, security and intelligence insiders and is linked to organised crime, drug trafficking, arms dealing and terrorism.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Customs official Maganial Solanki seized a film at Dover's Eastern Car Terminal in 1982 which was delivered to MI<sub>5</sub>. At least two MPs now have an audio recording of the ex-customs officer's testimony stating this fact. This disappeared. Campaigners, such as Chris Fay, have seen Leon Brittan in videos at paedophile parties. They have also had multiple victim statements about such parties. The police had possession of the videos and lost them!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The initial States of Jersey Police enquiry was led by a conscientious competent officer, Len Harper. Unfortunately he was too good at his job and ruffled many feathers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Jersey social worker Simon Bellwood was sacked in 2007 for speaking out and health minister Stuart Syvret was fired in 2007 for publicising the suppressed Sharp Report into abuse allegations. Syvret then sought prosecution for politicians and police involved in the cover up.

- Exaro: 'Analysis: Why I turned down Panorama's interview request'.
- My paper: 'Suffer the little children' and a forthcoming second part of this paper.

# Free energy?

This could be an extremely important matter if the reports are true.

An Iranian Nuclear physicist, currently living in Italy, named MT Keshe claims to have developed plasma technology; the Holy Grail of science fiction writers.

It appears that Keshe has been lecturing on this in very prestigious places, and has gained a number of scientific disciples who have been learning his work in recent decades but the mainstream media has nothing on him. He has taught his discoveries at universities and even in seminaries to children.

His basic theory (as far as I understand it) is that modern scientists have missed the point by focusing upon atomic theory. He goes back a further step to plasma, which is behind atoms.

Plasma is a ball of energy that he claims is the more basic building block of the universe than atoms. Atoms are a coming together of plasmas. Essentially, plasma is behind matter and produces matter and anti-matter.

A plasma is magnetic fields operating in a ball. There can be several magnetic fields in a plasma ball; thus plasma operates like magnets in attracting or repelling each other. The natural force created when they are attracted is gravity; so plasmas are behind gravity.

Thus everything in the universe is created by plasma rather than atoms.

When two plasmas are added to a plasma we have physics. When two more are added we have chemistry. When two more are added we have biology. Thus if you can learn to control or build plasmas you can do literally anything. It is the principle of energy in the universe.

This all reminds me of the old principle of field theory, such as the claims that the great pyramid was really a gigantic power generator harnessing natural energy freely. If it is true, then our world is about to change.

I have already told you about the many pioneers of free energy, such as Nikolai Tesla. It seems that they were tapping into this idea in different ways.

Keshe has spent decades working on this in various countries until forced out by the elite. He worked for years in a laboratory in Belgium until he was expelled and his work confiscated making him start afresh. In fact there have been multiple attacks on his life and family. Keshe names the head of the European Space Agency as being the chief culprit and has filed prosecution cases against him. These assassination attempts forced him out of his offices in Belgium and he has now set up in Italy where he is adored.

It appears that in recent years he has perfected the technology, which is now being marketed in various ways. He has also given out the information and blueprints freely without patent. Indeed, he seeks world peace by ensuring that all the technology and data is free to any government that promises to good with it. I understand that the governments of Ghana, Nigeria and China have already begun developing his technology. He has also worked with Japan to use plasma devices to get rid of the radiation from Fukushima.

The application of his technologies are huge.

If you can manipulate plasma you can build anything and provide free energy. Indeed, Keshe's main concern is space technology and many of his ideas are being developed to assist space travel, such as manufacturing food, water, air and power. Indeed, he explains that you do not see any motors or jet engines in space or nature and that only by using plasma driven, anti-gravity machines is space exploration possible.

At an earthly level, plasma generators can provide virtually free energy in any form. A energy cell the size of a Coca Cola can has already been developed that will power a car. Smaller versions can replace batteries that will last decades. Larger generators can power houses for free. If this technology becomes internationally available it will do away with the need for gas, oil and nuclear power. No wonder the elite has stopped dissemination of all this in the media since it controls the world through controlling oil.

Above all these, as if it isn't enough, Keshe claims that his foundation of scientists has already created the ability to communicate over long distances by telepathy using plasma, giving the ability to read minds and has also created anti-gravity machines.

There are reports by others (I haven't heard Keshe confirm these) that he is building an anti-gravity flying saucer for Iran and has also downed two USA drones in Iran, presumably with some sort of tractor beam, so that they have been taken completely intact. This is even more amazing than at first appears since he would first have to disable the internal self-destruct module from a great distance.

Keshe comes over as a genuine man who is sincerely seeking to do good to the world and trying to do what he can to bring peace and an end to war.

Now this man can single-handedly bring down the elite, which is why they want to kill him (especially since he is an Iranian). It also explains why Keshe is anxious to give his data and plans away freely (they can be gained on a single USB stick).

The possibilities of applications for plasma devices are limitless and, if used, could literally end life as we know it. It can solve the water and energy problems in Africa overnight. It could provide a defence against all forms of weaponry. It could make money of no use. It could make languages extinct. It could solve the food crisis. It could provide free medication that you make yourself. He has already provided machines that capture CO2 and provide immediate pain relief.

Now it is possible that all this is an elaborate scam, but it doesn't seem to be as far as I can tell. I don't think he would have been invited to lecture at prestigious universities if that were the case, or that prominent scientists have supported him; to say nothing of the governments of Italy, China and Japan.

Furthermore, there are also prominent physicists who have affirmed that Keshe only sees part of the issue and that they see even more;<sup>6</sup> such as a American scientist Dan Winter. Their explanations are beyond me.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These tend to be physicists that are very mystical as well as being very competent.

Is the world going to change? Is this the beginning of a time of peace, prosperity and security that will usher in an antichristian world government? Who knows?

For more information search 'Keshe Foundation'.

### Government lies

It is absolutely impossible to understand how any government continues, or any Prime Minister survives, after being exposed as a blatant liar. No one seems to care anymore after the smooth lies of Tony Blair. In the past an MP, especially a minister, caught out in a lie would immediately resign as being morally unfit for the job (note Profumo). This is no longer the case.

Out of many examples of downright lying by the Tory government (of whom Iain Duncan Smith is a prime example) the lying of David Cameron, an inveterate liar, is a most extreme example.

The current policy of cutting tax benefits to the poorest of workers is a scandal. When poor people are already up against the wall, this government is intending to make 3 million people £1300 a year worse off. This will literally cause them to starve, go cold in winter or lose their homes. Worse than that, this tax (that's what it is) targets not only the poorest workers but those with children. Those badly affected include low paid workers with children (£850 pa worse off), but more severely affected are single parents with children (£1,000 pa worse off). A more radical example of callous government would be hard to find. This is another Tory example of crushing the poor whilst enriching the rich (as their tax cuts have done).

Whether or not the idea of tax credits is good or bad (I think they are bad actually) the point is that you do not bring in reforms that will severely damage poor people; you have to be more progressive.

It is noteworthy that even Tory backbenchers have spoken out about this; even old chargers like Norman Tebbit.

Wicked as this is, this is not my point. My point is that in the weeks coming up to the last election David Cameron was asked point blank on television whether he would cut benefits like working tax credits. He categorically stated that he would not. This has been broadcast several times recently to embarrass him. Without breaking a sweat, he cares nothing about his personal reputation and has continued supporting George Osborne's policy to cut tax credits.

What reason have we to trust anything that David Cameron ever says in the future? He is a proven liar who cares nothing about what he says as long as it gets the current job done. He is the worst kind of leader and obnoxious to God.

Lying lips are an abomination to the LORD. Prov 12:22

Righteousness exalts a nation, but sin is a reproach to any people. Prov 14:34

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> They are far too complex; they are easy to defraud; and they are not targeting the actually problem.

# Carrier bags - a big non issue

Well, you now have to pay 5p for every plastic bag you use whilst shopping. 'Big deal', someone might say, 'it's next to nothing'. Well tell that to someone who can't afford to heat their home or buy sufficient food for their family.

I've covered the background to this issue many years ago but some of it is worth repeating.

The first thing is that this issue is nonsense. There is no cause for it whatsoever.

Plastic bags are a green (i.e. environmental) success story. They are made from napthta, which is a by-product of the petroleum industry. If this were not used to make plastic bags then it would have to be burned off – which is not a green policy.

The bags are excellent at doing their job. Paper bags waste wood, fall apart in the rain and cannot carry a heavy load. Furthermore, plastic bags biodegrade. Within a couple of years they dissolve into a fine powder. I have seen this process occur with my own eyes.<sup>8</sup>

Plastic bags do not harm animals. The original story years ago, about plastic bags in the oceans killing turtles, was a lie. The background to this story was plastic netting killing turtles entangled in it. This lie has been used to develop an agenda that has nothing to do with ecology at all. Turtles are not stupid and would not eat a floating plastic bag; they can tell the difference between plastic and jellyfish. Subsequent to this it turned out that some illustrious reporter had, later on, actually stuffed a plastic bag into a dead turtle's mouth.

Plastic bags that litter urban and rural areas are not the fault of the bags but of antisocial people. Target the criminal not the instrument. A bigger problem to the environment is the littering of aluminium tin cans used for Coca-Cola and beer, but no one is saying that these must be eradicated or taxed.

If there were a genuine problem with plastic bags then simply replace them with paper bags – but no one has suggested that because they are impracticable; that's why plastic bags replaced them.

As for the cotton bags for life, those are a disgrace. Cotton is not a bio-renewable but is a limited resource. If everyone in the world stopped using plastic bags and bought cotton carriers there would be a dearth of cotton products – like hospital bed-sheets.

As for the nonsensical idea that people should carry 'cotton bags for life' every time they go to the supermarket, folk need to get a reality check. Very many people go to the supermarket after doing something else (such as working) and cannot carry around several large cotton bags. Only folk going by car could do this by keeping cotton bags in their boot; but it would be impracticable for many people who do not use cars.

Now the people who do not use cars tend to be the poorer customers – old age single pensioners, the working poor, the poorest people on benefits, the sick and disabled etc. So this charge becomes yet another attack on the poorest people in society. It may only account for about £20 a year but that means a lot to the really poor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> I left some plastic bags in a suitcase and forgot about them. A couple of years later I opened the suitcase and a mass of small pieces of plastic fell out causing a huge mess. The bags had turned into small round pieces a few millimetres wide in that short time. After a couple of years more they would be dust.

Then there are the follies that abound in the application of this. Some bags are charged while others are not, depending on obscure rules laid down by the government, such as thicker bags are not charged (how thick is that?). I recently bought a large item from a store and was charged for the very thick large plastic bag, which was necessary for me to be able to carry the item home. I was charged for this bag but I know that it should have been outside the rules for charging. How many people are paying when they should not be? It is also claimed that certain stores have used a loophole and are not giving the charges to charity but keeping them as profit.

Another issue is extra work for grocery delivery drivers. If you buy groceries for delivery at home and do not want to pay for bags (Waitrose charge a flat rate of 40p no matter how few bags you use) then the poor driver has to take all the items one by one into your kitchen, and also load them one by one into his van and from the shelves. This has increased the time and work of poor drivers with no increase of pay.

No good has come from this except a windfall for charities. But customers do not get to choose what charity their money goes to. This is important as many charities waste their money hand over fist and I would not want to support them. It is common for charities to have CEOs that earn six figure salaries and pay millions for offices. I choose what charities I support very carefully.

This policy is a mere distraction used by a government to cover other sins. It damages the poor and does not a bit of good to the environment.

### Vladimir Putin - behind the western smear tactics

Putin is portrayed in the west as some sort of despotic devil that must be opposed at all costs. Statements are made in the media about his aggressive policies and lie upon lie is told about certain issues in places like Ukraine. Why is this?

The truth behind all this is a fight, not between east and west per se, but between the Jewish Neocon globalist agenda of the USA (and thus NATO) and the Russian Orthodox Christian nationalism of Putin in Russia. It can be reduced to Jewish imperialism versus Russian Orthodox Christianity.

Putin, like others (such as Qhadaffi was or Assad is) is a real threat to the Zionist agenda in many ways, not least his assertion of economic independence from the dollar and the Federal Reserve but also his control of gas and oil reserves.

The situation in Ukraine is portrayed in the west as Russian expansionism and aggression when in fact the truth is that it is NATO expansionism contrary to historic international agreements. In fact, Ukraine and the Crimea have a long history of attachment to Russia; indeed the original Russian people were Vikings that were based in Kiev (the Kievan Rus).

In typical fashion played out many times,<sup>10</sup> the CIA orchestrated, funded and resourced an internal revolt and put in power their puppet (a Jew by the way) against the wishes of the majority of the population. This was used as an excuse to use military action against ordinary people. Russia was blamed by Fox news, CNN and the BBC. Interestingly, a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For example, the CEO of the RNLI was stated some years ago as earning over £130,000 a year and a small new lifeboat station near me cost a million pounds, being designed by a major world architect. Meanwhile the excellent lifeboat men themselves are usually volunteers who earn nothing at all.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Called a 'Gladio B' operation.

couple of live news reports featured local people who explained that the bombing and fighting was not by Russians but the NATO backed Ukraine government and then were immediately cut off (you can see this on YouTube).

Putin outsmarted the aims of the US in the Crimea and asserted his nationalism in standing up to the western expansionism in ramping up defences and mobilising troops. In refusing to back down he gave the west a problem, which smoulders on. Ukraine, stuck in the middle, has no hope; it will be wrecked – all caused by the US.

In all this Putin was merely defending the Russian national interest against aggressive western expansionism. It was not the other way round.

A similar situation applies in Syria.

What caused the problem in Syria? The policy of the Zionist US government was to create a Syrian civil war and topple the Assad regime. This would satisfy many Jewish Neocon hopes, such as getting rid of a threat to Israeli interests, eradicate an independent bank, eradicate an ally of Iran (the US's next target) but chiefly to enable a southern oil / gas pipeline that needs to go through Syrian territory which Assad refused to allow.<sup>11</sup>

WikiLeaks revelations showed US plans to destabilise Syria and get rid of Assad being drawn up as early as 2006. Other plans go back even earlier. WikiLeaks showed that the original orders came from Tel Aviv.<sup>12</sup> The 2008 US Army Rand Report, 'Unfolding the Future of the Long War', details the 'divide and conquer' strategies for setting groups against each other.

The US did this (as in Libya) by training, funding and resourcing terrorists to foment civil war; a historic American tactic that has caused millions of deaths. The original Syrian demonstrations (portrayed by the west as sweeping the country) only comprised of a few hundred people with WikiLeaks confirming CIA involvement as early as March 2011. As in Libya it has all gone horribly wrong.<sup>13</sup> It is estimated (the UN stopped counting) that perhaps 330,000 Syrians have died so far.

The terrorists funded by the US morphed from Al Qaeda into ISIS, but America, through its Wahabi<sup>14</sup> allies,<sup>15</sup> continued to resource them. The reason why ISIS advanced unchecked was because of massive resourcing by the west; no expansionist military movement survives without funding. Furthermore, it helped the terror-led US domestic security policies to have a new bogeyman to scare the people.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Zero Hedge, Tyler Durden; 'Competing gas pipelines are fuelling the Syrian War and Migrant crisis', 9 Oct 2015. This regards a proposed Qatar to Turkey pipeline. See also the Guardian, August 2013. Assad was protecting his ally Russia, which is Europe's top supplier of gas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Israel also used the Syrian crisis to expand into the Golan height (breaking international law) to extract oil. <sup>13</sup> Under Qhadaffi Libya had become one of the best places in the world to live. It had a standard of living that was better than America. Now it is a failed state, full of violence, that people are fleeing from.

<sup>14</sup> Wahhabi (also Wahabi) the strictly orthodox Sunni Muslim sect founded by Muhammad ibn Abd al-Wahhab (1703–92). It advocates a return to the early Islam of the Koran and Sunna, rejecting later innovations; the sect is still the predominant religious force in Saudi Arabia. Such Muslims are opponents of Shia Muslims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> WikiLeaks released Saudi intelligence revealing that Turkey, Qatar and Saudi Arabia had been financing the rebels since 2012. The so-called Free Syrian Army (comprising of freedom fighters and terrorists) were also trained, armed, and resourced by the USA, UK and France. They created a pact in 2012 called 'The Group of Friends of the Syrian People'; in fact its agenda was to conquer and wreak havoc across Syria, but particularly to overthrow Assad.

Thus ISIS received weapons, money, training, and support by the US via local Arab powers or from airdrops. This is well known to the world outside of the Jewish controlled western media. In fact, the world's media carried a story about the Iraqi downing of two RAF aircraft that were supplying ISIS.<sup>16</sup> Not a dicky-bird on the BBC!

Other stories emerged of US generals giving training to ISIS commandeers; US military giving training to ISIS warriors and even ISIS soldiers being treated in Israeli hospitals. In one project the US spent millions of dollars to train soldiers that led to only six people being equipped. In addition, the graphic films of beheadings and executions are extremely professional, using crane shots and other Hollywood techniques; hardly performed by a bunch of desert Bedouins on their own.

On top of that, claims that Assad had used chemical weapons upon the rebels were checked by independent specialists and it was found that it was the rebels that had used these against Assad's forces and civilians. Yet this false story is still used by the BBC. Where did the rebels get these sophisticated weapons; I wonder?

Note that such a terrible aggressive, Muslim military unit (ISIS) has never killed a single Jew. They have even fired missiles upon Egypt from Gaza but have not attacked Israel. ISIS has only attacked other Muslims.

This explains why the US and NATO's attacks on ISIS by fighter planes proved so useless. They were deliberately ineffective. The more they bombed the more ISIS spread. It was all a smokescreen.

#### Enter Putin.

After watching his ally get clobbered year after year and seeing the expansion of ISIS closer to his borders, Putin finally, after much patience, decided to act. Using Sukhoi SU 33 and other fantastic aircraft, that totally outperform the US's F35s, and cruise missiles fired from a great distance, Putin did more to damage ISIS in four days than the west had done in years. Again Putin completely outsmarted Obama. Since then his efforts have escalated and there are now Russian ground forces on a newly made airbase. ISIS is in retreat for the first time. Having Russians around is a great problem for America.

What happened next? The west's politicians savagely criticised Putin and demeaned his efforts; but in the end they have to capitulate because they have to face the obvious facts.

In speeches Putin has stated the obvious in the face of criticism by people such as BBC reporters, to the point of accusing them of having no common sense. He explains that he is not aggressively expansionist but is protecting Russian national interests. He shows that it was the west that has supported and initiated ISIS. He explains that the US defence budget is ten times his. He explains that only the US has used nuclear weapons on enemies. But he also says that he will stand up to American provocation and not back down.

Now I am not a Putin fan (I am a fan of no man) and he may have done some terrible things to his own people. Yet in the case of Syria, Crimea and Ukraine he is doing what any sensible defender of a nation would do and he is not the bogeyman he is claimed to be by the western media. Furthermore, he claims to be a devout Christian and has promised to defend the Russian Orthodox Church, which the Jewish based Soviets tried to extinguish through massacres and church burnings. As the Russian Orthodox Church has revitalised

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> FARS news agency; 'Iraqi Army down 2 UK planes carrying weapons for ISIL', 23 Feb 2015.

in recent years so Putin has become a severe thorn in the side to Jewish interests in the west.

For further information see Putin's speeches and many YouTube exposes. RT News is a much better source for true journalism than anything in the BBC.

# Chemtrails; update

Subscribers know that I have explained this in some detail before; however, as more information unfolds we see that the situation is far worse than we thought and is literally playing fast and loose with the potential destruction of the earth's climate. One scientist has stated that if the programme continues earth could become like Venus (a mass of poisonous gas).

Many use the term 'Chemtrails'<sup>17</sup> but the professional activists, such as Dane Wigington, prefer to use more accurate terms such as: 'geo-engineering', 'climate engineering', 'solar radiation management', 'stratospheric aerosol injection', and so forth, to describe the programme.

In these programmes the US is putting light-scattering particles into the atmosphere to deflect the sun's incoming thermal radiation. Instead of cooling the planet (as intended) they are wrecking the planet. As well as polluting the atmosphere, the soil and water, it disrupts weather patterns and causes extreme weather events.

The price paid globally for artificially producing local areas of cooling by blocking the sun's rays, is planetary warming. This shreds the ozone layer and disrupts the rain cycle, droughts expand, plus increased UV is cooking the trees, the particles corrupt the soil and damage the oceans, and so on.

It now appears that the US government has been involved in chemtrails to manipulate the weather since the late 1940s. It literally seeks to ability to allow or deny who has rain, using weather as a military tool.

While many fear the power of CO2 in the atmosphere and the pollution caused by mankind, the damage done by geo-engineering is so vast and so insane that it dwarfs any such fears. The geo-engineering programme is doing so much damage so fast that the earth cannot cope fast enough to heal itself. In a matter of time, if unchecked, the earth may fall into a downward slide and be ruined. Geo-engineering has already amplified the Californian drought crisis, which is now short of 250 inches of rain, a 1200-year drought event.

Now it is not that people do not know about this; they do, in fact many in authority are scared stiff. Academics, politicians, governors of states, and civil servants in America all know about this but can do nothing. The CIA has ensured that any whistleblowers are killed. Wigington names the names of whistleblowers that have been wiped out. This puts others into such fear that they clam up. On top of this there are 'gag orders' on public

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> That is chemical trails, as seen from the gas let loose from compressors in military aircraft, as opposed to 'contrails', the condensation trails left by regular aircraft (actually, very few modern jets produce any trails at all). Chemtrails are often noticed from forming criss-cross patterns of trails in the sky.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> For example John Wheeler, a former Bush aid, murdered after threatening to blow the whistle. He was found in a land-fill site two days afterwards.

employees, such as the weather service and government staff, to prevent them explaining what is going on.

Note also that the UN's IPCC (the largest panel ever created), which debates climate change, never mentions (despite knowing) the problem of geo-engineering that literally dwarfs any other man-made activities affecting the climate; neither do all the environmental activist groups. Climate change taxes and polices are utterly pointless if geo-engineering is not stopped.

Wigington has obtained state<sup>19</sup> and congressional documents, some 750 pages long,<sup>20</sup> going back decades that explain all the aspects of this geo-engineering. This is hard evidence to prove that the programme exists and is not some conspiracy theory fallacy. The (1978) 750-page document shows treaty agreements between 50-60 nations (even those in hostility), ten Federal agencies and 12 major universities being involved with complete legal immunity against all those involved. This can be read at Geoengineeringwatch.org

But this is not confined to America. The programme is affecting every country in the world.

On top of the damage done to the atmosphere, such as wrecking the ozone layer and releasing methane from the arctic, the chemicals fall to the ground and contaminate groundsoil and water supplies. American regulatory bodies have been stopped from checking for things like aluminium in water for years (since 2002) because it is ramping up in US reservoirs. In addition there are barium and other compounds poisoning the soil. Once the soil is poisoned with heavy metals, it can never be restored. Once the water is filled with aluminium particles, it becomes toxic. If methane continues to escape from the arctic at the unprecedented rate that it currently is, the atmosphere will be ruined.

The methane problem is the most serious. Climate engineering has altered upper-level wind currents, which has altered ocean currents, so that warm water is now pumping into the Arctic and thawing formerly frozen methane deposits on the sea floor. There is enough methane in the Arctic to cause a global mass extinction. The atmosphere is filling with methane right now. Average night-time low temperatures are rising twice as fast as daytime highs. Methane is a hundred times more potent as a 'greenhouse gas' than CO2. In the last million years (according to the secular evolutionary mindset) methane has consistently been 700 parts per billion (CO2 is measured in parts per million!). Today we are at 3,000 parts per billion; and it is increasing exponentially. This is not a cyclical pattern.

Such a programme is absolute insanity since it will ultimately destroy the very people that are controlling it, along with everyone else. It is sheer psychotic madness.

For a simple, single source point for information:

• See the interview at USA Watchdog (or usawatchdog.com) YouTube: 'Dane Wigington – Chemtrails / Geo-engineering is playing God with weather'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> A report to the executive office of the president on climate engineering from 1966 called: 'A recommended national program in weather modification' (a report to the interdepartmental committee for atmospheric science by Homer E Newell; sponsored by the Federal Council for Science and Technology executive office of the President'; which can be found on Geoengineeringwatch.org.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 'Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation'; Unites States Senate, (May 1978). Note: chapter 5 'Federal activities in weather modification'; 'Foreign activities in weather modification'; chapter 12, 'Economic aspects of weather modification'. A pdf of the whole document, plus extracts on the website, is available at Geoengineeringwatch.org.

### Geoengineeringwatch.org

# The migrant crisis

The media is constantly emotionalising this subject, with pictures of dead children and such like, so that any proper discussion of the facts are shut down as callous. Of course any human suffering is terrible and should be assisted but a problem of this magnitude needs to look at causes and proper fixes. Taking millions of migrants into Europe is not an answer.

#### What has caused this?

The answer is chiefly the American foreign policy of interference in foreign nations to pursue a Neocon agenda led by Jews.<sup>21</sup> That is just a plain fact. In addition to this being a side effect of American involvement in Iraq, Libya, Syria and Afghanistan, it has also been a deliberate strategy of the global elite.

You must understand what the elites' objective is in this.

The elite believes that the 19<sup>th</sup> century was the period of empire states; the 20<sup>th</sup> century was the period of nation states and they are trying to introduce a current period where city-states will be the norm. That means that they must destroy the idea of nation states. It is Europe, in particular, that the elite wants to destroy as a series of sovereign nations with particular social characteristics. Other tactics in the past, such as world wars, did not achieve their plans. They destroyed Germany in five years but in fifty Germany re-emerged as the most powerful nation in Europe.

So the elite sought a strategy to mongrelise nation states by bringing in hordes of non-European people to alter the bloodlines. Britain in particular is a target for social upheaval, destruction of social norms and mass immigration.

The current mass migration of Africans and Muslims is a deliberate strategy of the elite. The ruinous actions of America in Libya, Iraq, Syria and Afghanistan were a means to that end, on top of other objectives, in each nation.

Discussing the problem of migrants is pointless without understanding the role of America in causing this; but this is a taboo subject for the media.

For instance, how do you stop the flood of migrants from Syria? Obviously genuine migrants do not want to leave their homes, jobs and relatives but do so through fear. In Syria the cause is ISIS. For years ISIS have been propped up by America and its allies. The solution is to remove the threat of ISIS by genuinely attacking them and removing their source of supply. Strengthen the Assad government and support the Peshmurger troops. However, that is the opposite of the current American strategy which wants to weaken Assad, remove him and support the rebels. You see that the American policy is the cause of the migration and stopping the fix. Get rid of the Americans and take ISIS on properly. Thankfully, that is what Putin is now doing.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> This is not anti-Semitic (a misnomer since 95% of Jews, and the controllers of Israel, are not Semites at all but Turkic/Mongol) but a simple statement of fact. All the key Neocon players are Jews: Perleman, Wolfowitz, Cheney, Rumsfeld, Zelikow, Kristol, Libby, Feith, Shulsky and so on. Jews have controlled American politics since the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century and US / international banking since 1913.

Any refugees created by the current instability need to be housed in refugee camps as near to their homes as possible and fully supported and funded by the west, if the west really wants to help. If ISIS are bombed to destruction these camps would not need to be active for very long. There is no need for millions of people to flee to Germany, France and the UK.

In Britain we have children starving, over a million working poor people using food banks, the NHS about to bust due to lack of investment and millions of young people unable to find a house. Each migrant family coming to Britain costs at least £23,000 of council money in the first year alone. A thousand families coming into a borough means a bill of £23 million a year when councils already are on the brink of collapse. There are towns like Boston that are already fit to bust due to immigration problems. Britain cannot cope with an influx of hundreds of thousands on top of the current immigration rate from the EU.

Remember that the plan is to destroy Britain's social structure and infrastructure. It is not a question of being callous. Our government is already callus to the needs of its own citizens. We can give assistance to secure UN zones local to fleeing populations, such as Syria, (or in Lebanon and elsewhere) until the actual problems are fixed by removing the thorn in the side that is America.

To fix a problem, first find its true cause and remove it.

### Conclusion

That is sufficient for now. I will only add more Occasional Thought papers when I have something valid to say on current issues. If I have nothing to say, there will be no further papers.

If you have comments that may add light and not heat, they are welcome. Non subscribers can contact me via my webmaster.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version © Thomas Nelson 1982

> Paul Fahy Copyright © 2015 Understanding Ministries http://www.understanding-ministries.com