# **Occasional Thoughts 3**

#### November 2015b

True saints, sensitivity to sin, worldliness, governmental madness, Cameron's folly, Statins: a final solution.

# Of whom the world was not worthy

Still others had trial of mockings and scourgings, yes, and of chains and imprisonment. They were stoned, they were sawn in two, were tempted, were slain with the sword. They wandered about in sheepskins and goatskins, being destitute, afflicted, tormented - of whom the world was not worthy. They wandered in deserts and mountains, in dens and caves of the earth. And all these, having obtained a good testimony through faith ... Heb 11:36-39

History is full of saints who led godly lives with a good testimony of whom the world is not worthy. These saints led lives that the world either ignored or persecuted, but it never took them to its heart. In the examples above these people led difficult lives, often poor or even starving, without even the basics of life. On top of this, they were also tormented by the world; in many cases the world actually hated them and killed them.

Of course, the chief example of this is the Lord himself whom history has largely ignored [with the exception of Josephus] and whom the world killed. Yet Jesus has the greatest testimony of any man in the courts of heaven. Like those above he was from a poor background, led a poor life and had little comforts, not even ever having had his own home.

Now we look at the church world today where things are very different.

Church leaders today fall over themselves trying to be the very opposite of history's great saints. They seek the comforts and even affluence of the world, having all modern luxuries. It is seen as a necessity to have a car, or even a new car, and a large house paid for by the flock.

They demand large salaries, commensurate with the professions (such as a headmaster). In extreme cases (of which there are many in the US), they demand rich remuneration so that they are extremely wealthy. It is sickening how many church leaders have multiple expensive cars, aeroplanes, servants and multiple luxurious houses. They even have an apologetic which insists that, as supposed men of God, they ought to have a lifestyle equivalent to very rich people.<sup>1</sup>

Others strive to have the academic recognition of the world and seek worldly honours, such as degrees and PhDs. It is not uncommon for some to pay an institution for an honorary degree or qualification so that they can have letters after their name.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One famous UK Charismatic leader has said that he is worth having the equivalent salary as a company CEO. Apart from the sheer sinful arrogance of even saying this, in fact, his leadership skills are poor, his decisions poorer and his ability to teach Scripture worse than a junior Sunday-school teacher.

Then such folk try to get themselves known and angle to get on preaching circuits or the conference circuit. Others strive to get on radio programmes or television. Multiple DVDs and CDs are made of their speeches, even when they have nothing to say. Web sites are built for them that proclaim how wonderful they are with photographs of them looking studious with an open book.

Sometimes it is painful watching such career men who look out-of-place with their antics to impress audiences; such as copying great preachers' behaviour and style, acting a part, using affectations in speech and so on. The last thing on their mind is feeding the flock. One famous preacher has even been caught plagiarising entire sermons lifted from the Internet.

Some that engage in itinerant ministry charge enormous fees for public speaking. I know of cases where ministers and laymen charge many thousands of pounds for the privilege of you hearing them for 30 minutes.

This reminds me of the Pharisees that loved the best places at feasts and to be recognised in the market place.<sup>2</sup> Jesus said of them that they already had their reward – the world's recognition and esteem.<sup>3</sup>

People esteemed by the world are very rarely the people rewarded by God. God chose the foolish in the world.

God has chosen the foolish things of the world. 1  $Cor\ 1:27$ 

For I think that God has displayed us, the apostles, last, as men condemned to death; for we have been made a spectacle to the world, both to angels and to men. We are fools for Christ's sake. To the present hour we both hunger and thirst, and we are poorly clothed, and beaten, and homeless. And we labour, working with our own hands. Being reviled, we bless; being persecuted, we endure; being defamed, we entreat. We have been made as the filth of the world, the offscouring of all things until now. 1 Cor 4:9-13

Just like the people mentioned as having a great testimony in OT times, Paul was a spectacle<sup>4</sup> to the world. He suffered hunger and thirst, was also poorly clothed and persecuted on top of this.

Paul never sought the affirmation and adulation of the world. Indeed, Paul was the filth (refuse) and offscouring (as dirt rubbed off) of the world.

One modern Charismatic apostle once told me that he needed a large church so that it would authenticate his ministry, so it would impress people in the world and get their attention; he could then have an influence on the town. Where in Scripture do we see such a worldly strategy? Nowhere; in fact, we see the reverse of this where good men completely ignore what the world thinks.

As the modern church has become more and more worldly, so the leadership has become infatuated with the world and its pleasures. The modern church has, largely, so lost its way

<sup>2</sup> Matt 23:6-7, 'They love the best places at feasts, the best seats in the synagogues, greetings in the marketplaces, and to be called by men, "Rabbi, Rabbi".'

<sup>3</sup> Matt 6:2, 'Therefore, when you do a charitable deed, do not sound a trumpet before you as the hypocrites do in the synagogues and in the streets, that they may have glory from men. Assuredly, I say to you, they have their reward.'

<sup>4</sup> Greek: gazed at and made sport of as in a theatre.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> After decades his influence on the town was zero. The town's morals got worse and the place became a byword for immorality and hedonism.

that it has ceased to be a testimony to God in any realistic sense of the term. Its Gospel is false; its doctrines are unbiblical; its structures are worldly; it leadership is worldly; its attitudes are earthly; its ambitions and goals are worldly and the congregations are flagrantly worldly and sinful.

What we need today are leaders who care nothing about fame, money, a good life, and seek to give themselves completely to serve God and then edify the Lord's people. Such will be those of whom the world is not worthy.

# Sensitivity to sin

When you are a young believer you think that growing in grace and holiness will make you a different sort of human being, one who is above the things of the world and impervious to sin; you will have become holier than you are now.

When you do actually get older and hopefully more sanctified in practice, what you find is that, far from being fully divorced from sin, you actually become far more sensitive to it. You gain a greater awareness of your own sinfulness and worthlessness. Indeed, this sensitivity to sin leads to deep mourning when you ever commit any sin at all.

Sin becomes something you fear and loathe, and yet you know that you are indeed a great sinner saved by grace alone. When you commit a sin that would have been a minor event when you were young, when you are older such a sin devastates you.

Another issue is that when you were young your chief concern in sinning was to get clean, to cleanse your conscience. However, when you are older and wiser, as well as wanting to be cleansed afresh by the blood of Christ through confession and repentance, you are truly shocked that you have failed God, even for a moment, and are concerned that you have lost spiritual progress. Your desire is not just for cleansing but for restoration of spiritual power.

Another thing that troubles you is disloyalty. Your fleeting sin was a giving way to the old nature, failing to put off the old man, and was effectively siding with God's enemy. Recognition of this makes mourning for sin even more potent.

As you grow in sanctification what happens is that you grow in understanding the power of sin and how awful and dangerous it is, even momentary sin. You begin to understand that the most powerful battle in the personal Christian life is the battle between sin and grace. If you don't understand the power and danger of sin you cannot understand the depths of the mercy and grace of God.

Now I do not speak as a person advanced in holiness. My only claim is that I am a sinner saved by grace alone through Christ alone. My value is that I know I have no good dwelling in me by nature and I am dependent upon Christ for absolutely everything in my life.

Sin is when I fail to be dependent upon Christ and act in my own strength. Sin is therefore, desertion, amongst other things.

So, far from the perfectionist claims made by people who say that they have conquered sin and no longer sin any more (yes I have met such folk), real sanctification involves a true knowledge of sin and a sensitivity to it.

Also when you are young you think that sanctification will lead you into a greater experience of glory. In one sense this is true, but it is not what we envisaged. Sharing in God's glory is not obtaining power or special gifts, or making life easier. It does not lead to miracle working for example. Sanctification leads you into a growing awareness of the love of God in your heart. It is a deepening of sonship. The glory is all about relationships, just as the glory of the Godhead is all about the community of the Trinity and their love for each other.

Growth in sanctification is quieter than we used to think when young. It is more normal and yet more powerful than we thought.

Thus growth in grace is also a growth in understanding and trusting the ministry of the Holy Spirit within us. This education does not lead to wonder working power; knowing the Spirit more leads to understanding the love of God more; 'Now hope does not disappoint, because the love of God has been poured out in our hearts by the Holy Spirit who was given to us,' (Rm 5:5). When we were saved the Holy Spirit brought the love of God to us for the first time. In our growth in grace the Spirit leads us into deeper and deeper revelations of God's love for us.

One more aspect of growth in sanctification is the normalising of trust. While the just have always lived by faith from the time of their justification by it, growth leads to a natural, relaxed kind of trust in God. The best way to picture this is the trust of a little child. Growth in grace leads to a child-like faith so that the older we get physically, the younger we get spiritually. 'Whoever humbles himself as this little child is the greatest in the kingdom of heaven', (Matt 18:4).

Sadly, the church seems to have lost such conceptions. Spiritual progress is usually portrayed in very earthly terms, such as: being victorious and confident, having self-esteem, being a spiritual warrior, being an effective minister in this or that area, human success, having a large following, having notoriety, or even making a great deal of money. In fact, growth in grace is really about knowing God and loving God more and more. The more you love God, the more you will be sensitive to sin and abhor it.

## Love not the world

Do not love the world or the things in the world. If anyone loves the world, the love of the Father is not in him. For all that is in the world -- the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life -- is not of the Father but is of the world. And the world is passing away, and the lust of it; but he who does the will of God abides forever.  $1 \, \mathrm{Jn} \, 2:15-17$ 

It seems to me that very few Christians today have even read these verses, and many others like them. If Christians are those who are dead to the world (Gal 6:14), then there cannot be many Christians around.

We are not to love the things of the world; that is everything outside the kingdom of God.

Now there are things of the world that we are commanded to love; such as: our families, our parents, our friends and even our enemies; we must also be loving to the world of nature, animals and our environment. However, we are not to love the things IN the world – the things that belong to the world's sinful system that are outside God.

The world is a passing phase; the things of the world are like grass that flowers one day and the next is thrown into the oven. The pleasures of the world are passing and are like a mist in time; they have no consistency or lasting value.

The world is antagonistic to God because it is dominated by God's enemy. Thus those who are friends of the world are enemies of God (Jm 4:4). The world is the theatre where sin works out its dramas. It is a place that is dominated by demonic influence and that is working to a strategy planned by the devil. Why then would any Christian be allured by the world?

Sadly we see Christians, leaders and churches captivated by worldly thinking all around us.

We see this in very obvious forms when church leaders lead a completely worldly and rich lifestyle. This is so apparent that it needs no further comment. Any church leader living in opulence compared to his flock is a fake. How can the shepherd of the sheep live a rich lifestyle while the sheep are poor and struggling?

We see worldliness in church leaders when even their ministry is couched in earthly terms. Their goals, objectives, methods, strategies and administration are all worldly in derivation. The way they minister is not based upon Biblical principles whatsoever, it is based on the wisdom of the world.

The structure of church leadership is worldly and thus demonic. The idea of a pyramid hierarchy in ranks is straight out of demonic thinking and it is the way the world organises leadership structures, whether in government, the military or commerce. The big cat is at the top; under him are key leaders and together they form a secret cabal with ultimate power (e.g. commercial boardroom, an army commander in chief's staff or a church apostolic team). This cabal then dominates all the ranks underneath in a despotic controlling manner. The people at the bottom (church members) are treated with derision and expected to comply with pre-agreed policies. They become fodder for doing work or giving money and little else. Biblical church is a family meeting in a home (and thus small) led by a team of equal elders. The two systems could not be further apart and the worldly system is satanic.

But members are not immune from worldly thinking. Their whole lives are based around the principles of the world. Their worship is worldly, being a form of social entertainment based upon music. Their fellowship is not based upon Biblical edification and admonishing one another, but on being part of a social club.

Their lives are based upon the world to the degree that they are identical to sinners in the world: they read the same papers and believe the same lies. They follow the same sports teams with gusto. They watch the same rubbish television programmes that are designed to dumb people down. They speak the same language, with cursing, swear words and meaningless hyperbole and catch-phrases. They go on the same vacations, even if it is inappropriate (e.g. beaches full of topless girls). They are thrilled with the same dumbed-down movies, or worse they play violent video games. In essence there is no difference to the observer between sinners in the world and modern Christians in the world.

Sad to say that there are Christians whose lives actually revolve around playing golf at the weekend, or playing football / rugby etc. Others revolve around attending music concerts or playing in bands. Others are centred on the theatre, TV soaps or playing bingo. There are many other things. For such folk if you took away their worldly pursuit they would be lost.

Now the point of the word of God is that we are to be dead to the world and not love the things of the world. The test is to take away all the normal things of the world and see how long people can last without them. I suspect that it would not be long for most.

We must not love the world. That means that we must keep the world at arm's length and not get sucked into its ways. It does not mean that we cannot interact with the world; Jesus interacted with the world but kept his detachment. It does not mean legalism, such as saying that Christians cannot dance and cannot watch a film. It does not mean that we cannot go to the pub with a friend. It is a question of love and dependence. We must not love the world's dainties and must not get dependent upon them.

We must be able to do away with things in the world immediately if required and not miss them. Our central focus must always be on God first and then serving his people and being responsible for our families. It means that Christians should be able to say, 'Oh, how I love Your law! It is my meditation all the day', (Ps 119:97). How much time is given over to studying the Bible or reading helpful books on Biblical matters in churches these days?

We must not love the things in the world or we become God's enemy.

To love the LORD your God, to walk in all His ways, to keep His commandments, to hold fast to Him, and to serve Him with all your heart and with all your soul. Josh 22:5

# The madness of the world's governments

That the way the world is run is completely psychotic is obvious to anyone who does some research. It is not only insane but it is self-destructive and beyond stupid.

### **Nuclear bomb tests**

The insanity of political decisions has been obvious for 100 years. Without explaining two world wars, chemtrails, authoritarian laws, GM food, austerity politics, government surveillance etc. just look at nuclear bomb tests. Despite governments being fully aware of the radiation damage done by exploding nuclear bombs, are you aware that various nations have exploded over 2000 in tests since 1945? The USA alone has conducted 1,054 tests including over 1,000 different types of devices. Some were in the atmosphere but 904 of these occurred in the Nevada Desert and there is film available of mothers with children watching an atom bomb explode from their front window.

Other American tests include sites at Colorado, Alaska, Mississippi and New Mexico, to say nothing of oceanic islands such as Bikini. Britain exploded 45 nuclear bombs; 21 of them in Australia, nine of which were in mainland South Australia.

The insanity of this cannot be overemphasised. Our governments were constantly raining down radiation over large parts of populated countries. The radiation fall out would have spread far and wide on prevailing winds and has no doubt affected most of California for many years.<sup>6</sup> But radioactive materials would have also ruined the soil for hundreds of miles, damaged wild life, and seeped into water aquifers. It is sheer insanity.

On top of this, the nuclear waste Britain produced after 1945 was stored in metal barrels and then dumped in a deep trench in the English Channel near the Channel Islands until

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a comparison: the radiation spread on the wind by the Ukrainian Chernobyl accident decades ago is still showing up as increased radiation in the bodies of Scottish people.

international treaties prohibited this. These barrels are now deteriorating and leaking and no one has a clue what to do about it. Meanwhile large areas of the Channel are becoming toxic for wildlife and the Channel Islanders could be threatened; indeed many already have high levels of radiation-related cancers. It makes you wonder how eminent scientists and government officials could be so insane as to have a problem with extremely toxic waste and simply threw it into the sea and forget about it.

If governments are so stupid as to drop 1,054 (USA) nuclear bombs just to see how weapons of mass destruction explode, then they are mad. Since they are mad, it comes as no surprise that they continue to do insane things all the time. Vast encyclopaedias could be written about the number of senseless decisions made by governments.

Frank Zappa<sup>7</sup> once said that the only thing more ubiquitous than hydrogen in the universe was stupidity.

## Impending third world war

At the moment there is a great danger of sleepwalking into a third world war. We know that this has been planned for since the 1870s, just as the first two world wars were planned for to achieve certain elite objectives (such as a Zionist state). Most people, however, are oblivious to this danger since they are dumbed-down to the point of utter apathy and are besieged by multiple lies in the media.

The actions are insane. For example, China has been very active in claiming parts of the South China Sea. It consolidated these claims by making islands on certain coral reefs, militarising them, included building airport runways, and populating them with military staff.

This, in turn, led to threats from Japan and fears from other neighbouring countries so that the situation is becoming a powder keg. What does America do? It threatens China, both in diplomacy and by sending warships close to the territorial boundaries claimed for the islands. China responded by threats that any violation of the territorial waters or airspace would be considered an act of war. What did America do? It sent a B52 bomber to fly over these islands. Is this not insane? China could have already justifiably (in Chinese eyes) declared war if it wanted to.

At the same time Britain was courting the Chinese head of state, giving him all the top favours, such as meeting with the Queen and gala dinners and celebrations. Anti-Chinese protestors were treated very harshly (some even had their homes raided and possessions confiscated just for lawfully protesting). If America had started war with China then Britain would automatically also be at war through international treaties and NATO membership. Is this not all just nuts?

#### Migrant terrorists in Europe

Meanwhile, as expected (and no doubt as planned for by the elite) Paris was devastated by a series of gun and bomb attacks last night leaving 129 dead and injuring 300 in several coordinated incidents. IS has claimed responsibility for this.<sup>8</sup> I have no doubt that such

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  A provocative fringe rock musician, respected composer and bandleader, commentator and activist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Already there are folk who are calling this a false flag; certainly there was much prior intelligence of an impending attack and numerous coincidences; however, for me there is not enough evidence in yet. One thing is weird; police have recovered the passport of a terrorist who was blown up by explosives. This must have been a rather special passport to survive an explosion when the carrier of it was blown to pieces. Then why would a terrorist on a suicide mission carry identification to facilitate interrogation of family and friends? It reminds me of Mohammed Atta's passport being found in the debris of the Twin Towers where

attacks will now increase and spread throughout Europe. Why? Because the orchestrated migration problem led to a virtual open border at numerous European checkpoints; multiple terrorists have been let into various nations along with refugees.

There have been many cases where observed immigrants were far from destitute, fleeing, desperate people. They were well clothed, well composed young adults who had expensive smart phones, money and supplies and were guided by directions from afar and by using satellite navigation to go to where they wanted to be. They were also well briefed on whatever rights they were entitled to as claimed fleeing refugees, citing laws and regulations and demanding their 'human rights'. Overwhelming numbers meant that there was no proper processing of individuals and who knows how many thousands of terrorists have now become moles in European countries?

By using foreign money and military supplies from local Mafia or criminal arms dealers, such terrorists can easily be supplied to enact acts of terror within the heart of mainland Europe with relative impunity since soft targets abound (one of the Parisian targets was a small Cambodian restaurant).

Is not the lack of border controls and proper management of migratory influx madness? Australia successfully sent migrants back and controlled her borders. Europe utterly failed.

#### IS - an American creation

Now IS itself is a product of American military strategy and has been armed and resourced from America and Israel through Saudi Arabia, Turkey and Qatar. The IS problem was created by America. Leaked US government documents in 2012 show that the goal of an Islamic Caliphate and Syrian rebel groups such as Al-Qaeda and IS fighting for such a goal, was conducive to American / Israeli interests in toppling Assad. Thus Obama trained, supplied and gave arms to these terrorist groups for years. Wounded IS terrorists were treated in Israeli hospitals and then sent back into the field of conflict.

Furthermore, the Islamic anger against the west was also created by America in the illegal wars in Iraq and Afghanistan, followed by fomenting civil war and destabilisation in Syria and Libya. Now IS is within Europe and creating terrorist chaos that will only get worse. Is this not all insane?

#### **Destruction of America**

Meanwhile America plunges further into self-destructive chaos. Measurement after measurement is showing a complete collapse of the economy with all the baselines plunging. The lies of the government are being exposed, such as that unemployment is really about 25% and not 6-7%. Wages are in free-fall. Homes are being re-possessed by the thousand and entire cities, such as Detroit, are plunging into bankruptcy and anarchy.

The markets are demanding interest rate rises but to do so would collapse the economy by exposing the huge debts. America's national debt is so great that it can never be paid off, so it just keeps getting bigger. Eventually natural forces will make this situation explode; then America will be finished. Since 2008 the Federal Reserve has been implementing 'emergency measures'; it is some emergency to last seven years. This cannot continue.

California is running out of water and is in a thousand-year drought event. Genetically Modified food, Chemtrails, industrial pollution, large concentrated farming, fluoridated

steel had melted and vaporised but a paper passport survived intact. Perhaps aircraft black boxes (which have recently been lost or severely damaged after suspicious crashes) should be made of paper and card like passports, then they may survive. This certainly looks like an intelligence services planting of evidence.

water and other things are all causing rapid ruination of the soil and water supplies so that most of American farmland is polluted and could not be restored for many years even if all the problems were fixed.

Most Americans now test positive for the presence of glyphosate<sup>9</sup> (a highly toxic chemical found in Roundup weedkiller); even foetuses in the womb have it, while newly born babies get fed it from breast-milk.

Many common maladies contributing to a national health crisis are directly linked to government policies: the huge vaccination programme that is immune from litigation. GM food and farming methods. Fluoridation of water. The presence of high levels of sugar and salt in foods. The food processing industry. The presence of toxic additives in food such as Aspartame. The manufacturing of syndromes to create markets for unnecessary pharmaceuticals. The release of dangerous drugs that kill and injure people in the thousands. We could go on.

Fracking continues to damage water aquifers and even cause soil destruction and earthquakes, even though it is economically bankrupt. If oil was priced at \$100 a barrel it would be uneconomical to produce but at \$50 it is absolutely pointless. Yet it goes on.

All the sources of free energy have been silenced, bought up or the inventor killed by the elite, which necessarily uses the oil industry, in tandem with the dollar and central banking, to control nations. So, there are multiple sources of free energy, but none are used. But even if oil was the best source of energy, Alaska has oil reserves that could provide for America for 100 years. However, oil is deliberately controlled and restricted as part of international policies and currency regulation. War is threatened or enacted to nations that seek to abandon the petro-dollar (Libya, Iraq, Syria and Russia).

We could continue to list problems that will ultimately destroy America: an overbearing federal state which has become tyrannical and which will soon lead to civil war. Power in the hands of a global elite, and particularly Jews (less than 2% of the population), with no proper representation for huge demographic centres (such as Hispanics). The application of virtual martial law through various Homeland Security draconian acts of Congress. Massive poverty, drug crime, homelessness, unemployment and violent crime. Militarisation of local police which is now out of control. A huge propaganda war of lies to the American people which are now being exposed.

Without going into further detail, is this not all insane?

# Cameron's folly

Sometimes rulers are not just insane they are plain stupid.

A good example of this is the revelation, from a leak by George Monbiot in the Guardian, that David Cameron had vigorously complained about cuts in council services to his local constituency. He wrote to Oxfordshire County Council expressing horror that there were to be cuts to elderly day centres, libraries, museums, and children's centres. He demanded that the council cut back-room office staff and sell of unnecessary council buildings. He

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Something Monsanto promised could never happen when GM food was introduced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In the same way that diamonds are controlled by De Beers. Diamonds are actually only a semi-precious gem because there are so many of them. De Beers also has enormous stocks, but these are only released slowly and rigorously controlled to keep market prices high.

affirmed that there had only been a slight fall in government grants and went so far as to say that council spending had actually increased by 1.3%

David Cameron thus demonstrated that he had actually no idea whatsoever that the cuts he orchestrated were decimating vital services on the ground. He implemented these austerity cuts and then complained about them.

The Tory council leader (Ian Hudspeth) responded to Cameron explaining that the cuts had already meant a £72 million drop, which were cuts of 37%. He had already sacked 40% of his senior staff and 3000 jobs had gone since 2010; furthermore, all spare property had already been sold. He also pointed out that selling off council buildings was illegal. Cameron has absolutely no idea that Britain is being devastated by his own decisions. YouTube videos and press articles are now appearing saying that Cameron is the most stupid Prime Minister Britain has ever had.

On top of demonstrating stupidity and hypocrisy Cameron was also in breech of the parliamentary ministerial code by interfering in local politics and trying to influence local decisions while serving as a Prime Minster. This was made worse by inviting local councillors from his Oxfordshire constituency for a private meeting in Downing Street to discuss the council budget proposals. So Cameron's action was not only foolish but also illegal.

British politicians are so far removed from the normal public, and so busy living the high life on budget expenses going from one rich dinner to the next and drinking subsidised booze in the Commons bar, that they have no clue at all about the damage they are doing to British society and infrastructure. At least, one hopes that they are too privileged and have no clue because the alternative is that they are doing it deliberately. Surely that cannot be the case!!!

## **Work Capability Assessments**

One final point regarding Tory policies; a new report conducted by Nottingham Trent University demonstrates a compelling link between the savage Work Capability Assessments (WCA) and a massive rise in the suicide rate. The government avers that there is no proved causal link, but that could never be established beyond doubt. However, the professor in charge, while admitting no proven causal link, states that the evidence is more than a correlation and establishes compelling evidence of such a link. It appears that nearly 600 people have needlessly died as a result of mental damage caused by the stress of the WCA and threats of lack of money to live on (in one tested area alone). Evidence on a link between WCAs and suicide rates was suggested years ago<sup>11</sup> and another study conducted by the EU under Dr Carl Walker has also shown a correlation between austerity measures and a rise in suicides.

This is a disgrace. Government policies are actually killing people.<sup>12</sup> Subjecting people who are already suffering enormous mental stress or severe depression to a gruelling medical test conducted in 20 minutes by someone who does not know their history, and then being threatened with losing benefits and starving and being unable to pay the rent, is obviously going to tip some people over the edge. This is a callous and cruel torture. WCAs are not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> There were many heart-rending stories of people who committed suicide as a direct result of WCAs and losing disability benefit. Some even said so in their suicide note. Sadly many of these cases were middle-aged people; one was a grandmother. I have written this up in the past.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> While, at the same time, savage austerity measures have been proved to be of no use in upgrading GDP. This is why the national debt has doubled under Osborne. Savage austerity measures have nothing to do with reducing debt but are ideological policies to shrink the state and attack the poor.

suitable for mentally sick people; the government should seek the advice of their GPs and health specialists rather than this kangaroo court of judgment. How can a 20-minute test by a stranger overturn the health treatment of a GP and a specialist over a long term?

Is not this severe treatment of sick people insane? Is not a government that kills people by its policies deranged? The first responsibility of a government is to protect its people. This government is a disgrace and an offence to God. It is insane.

## Statins: a final solution<sup>13</sup>

I have fully explained in previous papers that the overarching plan of the global elite is to reduce the world's population and kill billions of people. Though shocking to some, it is plainly publicised in their various written statements and even by an inscription on a block of granite in Georgia, USA.

It is therefore obvious that the various arms of the elite will be used to achieve this goal. Since the elite controls politicians, government, the media, banking, and big pharma, then there is no shortage of attacks on ordinary people, especially poor people. The current austerity measures being enacted all over Europe are not in order to reduce national debts (the national debt of the UK is growing and has not been reduced at all, in fact it has doubled under the Tories), but is an ideological strategy to attack the poor. Studies have shown that austerity measures kill vulnerable people and never do any economic good.

Now it has long been known that drugs introduced by big pharma have frequently led to multiple deaths and have eventually been withdrawn after a long campaign. Sometimes drug companies have then been fined up to billions of dollars (a tiny fraction of annual profits). This is undeniable and a matter of record. I have previously listed a number of drugs that killed thousands and were then withdrawn. Everybody knows the effects of Thalidomide.

Now I have also long explained that the cholesterol danger story is a myth and shown that there is no correlation between high cholesterol and heart disease. I have also consistently showed that saturated fats are good for you and not bad for you, but that many supposedly healthy replacements for saturated fats are damaging to health. All this is now becoming well known.

Finally, I have long condemned Statins explaining that they do no good whatsoever to 99.9% of people but they do terrible damage to the health of patients; however, they make \$12.5 billion a year in profits to big pharma. I have personally known many people whose health plummeted after taking statins but whose health recovered immediately when they stopped taking them.

More and more studies have provided evidence that the much publicised change of diet from animal saturated fats to (e.g. butter, which is very good for you) to hydrogenated vegetable oils and margarine (which are transfats that are bad for you) actually increased people's chances of heart disease. Gullible people in their millions believed what they were told by TV adverts, doctors who had not studied the issues, and the press, have actually increased their chances of heart attack thinking that they were being healthy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> I acknowledge an article on Mercola.com for inspiring and partly informing this paper.

There can only be one reason for this. The people behind this scam wanted many people to die by deluding themselves they were doing good.

The statistics show that the more people replaced saturated fats with transfats, the rate of heart disease had continually climbed. The more aggressive the recommendations for low-fat diets, the more people died from heart attack. These statistics cannot be denied.

The fact that saturated fat cannot be the cause of heart attacks first came to notice in the 1990s when researchers noticed that the French, who eat a lot of fats, don't have high rates of heart disease; in fact it is a third that of the UK. It was called, 'The French Paradox'. The same is true of Icelanders.

The reverse is true. Lithuania is on target with the American Heart Association recommendation of keeping saturated fat below 7% of total calories. Yet Lithuania has one of the highest heart disease mortality rates.

In addition, research demonstrates that three quarters of people having a first heart attack had normal cholesterol levels. Data from a 30-year study<sup>14</sup> showed that in most groups, high cholesterol wasn't associated with more deaths. In fact, deaths were more common in old people with low cholesterol.

Despite the fact that no study has ever proved that cholesterol is connected to heart disease, it is still being demonised. Few people realise that cholesterol is extremely important to your body. In fact your brain is made of cholesterol and saturated fat in the main. Cholesterol is vital for the building of cells (such as repairing damaged artery walls), brain health and producing vitamin D and hormones.

Low levels of cholesterol are dangerous for your health. Low levels have been linked to memory loss and Alzheimer's Disease. They may also increase the risk of depression, stroke, violent behaviour and even suicide.

Furthermore, the idea of good and bad cholesterol is folly; there is really only one kind. However, the division of cholesterol into HDL and LDL is based on how the cholesterol combines with proteins. LDL and HDL are lipoproteins (fats combined with proteins). Cholesterol needs to be carried in the bloodstream by a lipoprotein. Large LDL is safe but small dense LDL particles can pass through the lining of the arteries. If they oxidise they can cause inflammation and damage. So there are really good and bad lipoproteins.

No one is currently checking the number of LDL particles in blood to better assess heart disease risk.

Since the cholesterol hypothesis is false, then the drugs to reduce cholesterol (statins) are pointless. This is to say nothing of the very many harmful side effects of statins, just one of which is liver disease. People take a statin to fix a problem that does not exist and which does no good to the body, but which causes liver damage, which will kill you. How insane is that? My own mother was given statins against her will in hospital and tests showed a reduction of liver function within 24 hours. They had to stop the statins.

What else do statins do?

- They promote calcification of your arteries, which causes heart disease.
- They deplete the body of CoO10, which is vital.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Framingham Heart Study.

- They increase insulin resistance, which causes chronic inflammation in the body, which leads to heart disease, high blood pressure, heart attacks, thyroid disruption and even cancer.
- They increase the risk of diabetes.<sup>15</sup> [Why would the government give out a drug that does this and at the same time crusade against the rise of diabetes?] Doctors misdiagnose raised blood glucose as type 2 diabetes and prescribe another drug when they just need to stop the statins. Shouldn't somebody compare the rise of statins in recent decades with the rise of diabetes over the same period?
- They inhibit the synthesis of vitamin K2. This raises the risk of atherosclerosis, heart failure, stroke and other problems.
- They cause immune system dysfunction.
- They interfere with the steroid management of the body.
- They damage mitochondria (the energy centre of a cell).
- They damage other systems that result in the creation of free radicals and inflammation, which can damage muscle tissue, including the heart. Muscle fatigue and cramp are common side effects with statins.

Why would any doctor prescribe a drug that did all these things? Doctors are giving people a drug that does terrible damage to the body every day, and often people who are already weak from other symptoms. Why give a drug that definitely helps to cause heart disease in order to help patients prevent heart disease that they do not have? This is psychotic behaviour.

The only people that can benefit from statins are those who have a genetic defect called familial hypercholesterolemia and possibly those with a defect in their left, anterior descending coronary artery.

Also the advice to eliminate saturate fats from diet is wrong.

In view of all this, why have certain doctors and health boards called for statins to be prescribed to all people over 40. The only conclusion you can arrive at is that some organisations want a lot of people to get sick and die.

What does cause heart disease?

A prime reason is an excess of sugar in the diet. This causes metabolic dysfunction, which causes heart disease. The odds of dying from heart disease rise in tandem with the percentage of added sugar in the diet.

Transfats may be even worse than sugar by causing blood clots in arteries.

Now the health craze of recent years that came on the back of the demonising of saturated fats caused a massive rise of both sugars and transfats in the diet of most people. By castigating something good (such as butter) people ate stuff that was really bad for them.

Lack of exercise contributes to heart disease. Exercise normalises insulin and leptin levels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Statins prevent excess sugar from being stored in the liver and transformed into cholesterol and triglycerides, but makes the liver return the sugar to the bloodstream, which raises blood sugar levels.

Immune system deficiencies from lack of exposure to the sun (something else that has been demonised). Studies have shown that a deficiency of vitamin D raised the risk of heart attack by 50%.

So we have this terrible chain of events caused by people in high power that also control the media and determine what people think.

- Demonise healthy food that contains saturated fat.
- Promote the lie that high cholesterol means a threat of heart disease. Since even GPs
  didn't fully understand how cholesterol works in the body, no one was in a position to
  question this.
- Produce a drug that reduces cholesterol but which actually makes people very ill and
  eventually kills them from several different sources, such as heart failure, diabetes, cell
  dysfunction or liver disease.
- Persuade people by active marketing and medical advice that statins were a multiple wonder drug that everybody should take.
- When activists, researchers and campaigners tell the truth about cholesterol and statins, demonise them by using statements by the government, health boards and medical professionals that are either deluded or paid for.<sup>16</sup>

The only conclusion you can arrive at is that there are people at the top that are actively trying to make the nation sick and die early. What other conclusion can you take?

Wars kill millions and the two world wars were planned by the elite back in 1871 to do this and to implement a number of other strategies.<sup>17</sup> However, wars are unpredictable and sometimes backfire on those who plan them; thus the strategy of killing large numbers through various medical procedures was planned. For example, it is now a proven fact that AIDS was created in a US laboratory.<sup>18</sup>

Since statins are such an appallingly detrimental drug and since they do no good whatsoever, is not the pushing of them by the establishment anything other than a deliberate method of delivering sickness?

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version © Thomas Nelson 1982

Paul Fahy Copyright © 2015 Understanding Ministries http://www.understanding-ministries.com

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>$  Compare the medical advice of earlier generations that fully supported the tobacco industries with utter lies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Letter of 1871 from Albert Pike to Guiseppe Mazzini. Pike designed plans for three world wars and three revolutions. Pike was the Sovereign Grand Commander of the Southern Jurisdiction of the Scottish Rite of Freemasonry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See my paper 'Depths of Deception'.