

# Hypocrisy: How you are being manipulated

## Introduction

Here I want to highlight a feature of the fake news that you are surrounded with and intend to show that stories, and particularly images, are set to a prior elite agenda. There is no balance regarding what you are bombarded with and it does not follow breaking news stories. A current example would be French street news-stands where the globalist elite puppet Macron has his face on the front-page of dozens of newspapers and magazines, but Marine Le Pen is nowhere to be seen. So much for balanced news coverage.

## Omission

Omission is the chief method used by the elite to hide stories from you (there are also lies, obfuscation, denials and so on). The hypocrisy is centred in the fact that news items are omitted that carry the same features of new stories that are highlighted, but from different sources that would suit a different agenda.

## Images of human casualties and especially children

### **Ploy 1: unleash migration to Europe**

Remember the body of an infant on a Turkish beach? That image was plastered everywhere in order to use emotional pressure on western European populations to cave in to a massive migration of Muslims.

The elite purpose in this is to: ruin the social infrastructure of Christian nations; increase the voting electorate of left-wing liberal voters; create social instability; engender a growing civil war between Christians and Muslims to further elite attempts to create a new world order. Since I have explained these before I will not develop this further.

So the world caved in to supposed waves refugee migration despite the facts that this was a foolish policy. Taking in millions of Muslim refugees made no sense. Most of the genuine refugees want to be back home, not in a strange culture. Safe havens in Middle Eastern nations that have the capacity already makes much more sense. Saudi Arabia has campsites already set up with air-conditioning to house millions – they are empty. Refugee centres supervised by a global charity near the fleeing migrant's homes is a proper solution. But this would not serve the elite's agenda, which is to ruin the west.

### **Ploy 2: unleash war on Syria**

Then we had the massive campaign of publicity regarding the gassed children in Syria. I have explained why Assad did not do this in another paper (*'Who gassed the Syrian children?'*). The focus of attention was not upon adults but upon dead and suffering children.

This served the elite's purpose as Donald Trump unleashed a very foolish airstrike on a Syrian airbase before any investigation had been conducted and when many voices showed that the narrative was false. In fact, as more information comes forward, it looks as if the

White Helmets staged the entire gas attack.<sup>1</sup> This is why the US has refused to allow a formal investigation by the UN.<sup>2</sup>

### **Similar images omitted**

#### *Mosul and Syria*

In the same time-frame of Trump being upset by images of dead children killed by gas in Syria, Trump was Commander-In-Chief of the airstrikes that were killing many hundreds, including Christians, in Mosul.

After one airstrike 112 civilian bodies, including many children, were pulled from the rubble. In another nearly 300 died. An airstrike on 17 March killed up to 200 civilians. Locals have explained that many airstrikes killing civilians are completely unreported.<sup>3</sup>

Documented civilian deaths from violence in Mosul (US bombing) is between 171,702 and 191,572.<sup>4</sup> America is flattening entire neighbourhoods with airstrikes, killing hundreds of civilians. In one week between 250 and 370 civilians were killed by US-led airstrikes says monitor Airwars.

The Coalition has admitted that they have received 27 reports of incidents involving civilian casualties in Iraq and Syria in January 2017 alone.

Vox reports that up to 1,000 civilians have been recently killed by NATO airstrikes, according to Airwars who have been overwhelmed by data.<sup>5</sup>

In Syria recent airstrikes killed up to 30 civilians who had taken shelter in a school and a week earlier, 42 people were killed when the US bombed al Jinah.

It's not just America committing these atrocities, the RAF have conducted hundreds of sorties as well, paid for by British taxpayers. At a time when austerity measures in the UK are destroying the social infrastructure and crippling the health service, millions of pounds are being wasted by our airforce killing Christians in Iraq and Syria. You cannot make this up!

Airwars gives statistics of Coalition airstrikes. Full documentation of this would be a very long list; here are some examples of just a couple of days:

- 1 April 2017: 25 killed, 26 injured in West Mosul.
- 1 March 2017: 18 civilians killed at Sha'aren Market, Mosul.
- 1 March 2017: 80 civilians killed in al-Faruq neighbourhood, Mosul.
- 1 March 2017: 2 children killed in Mosul.
- 2 March 2017: 20 civilians died in Mosul, 18 injured – mostly women and children.
- 2 March 2017: 14 civilians from three families killed in Mosul.
- 2 March 2017: four killed at al Mansour, Mosul.

---

<sup>1</sup> Russia Insider, 'Russian Defence Ministry: White Helmets staged Sarin gas attack videos', 18 April 2017.

<sup>2</sup> The only evidence is two videos released by the White Helmets who have a history of fake videos. There have also been no requests in Khan Sheikhoun for special medicines, antidotes or decontaminants. Film of White Helmets assisting victims without protective clothing is proof that there was no Sarin.

<sup>3</sup> USA Today, Igor Kossov; 'Many airstrikes in Mosul go unreported, civilian victims say', 3 April 2017.

<sup>4</sup> Middle East Eye, Alessandro Accorsi; 'US coalition kills hundreds of Mosul civilians in one week', 8 March 2017.

<sup>5</sup> Vox, Zeeshan Aleem; 'US airstrikes are killing a lot more civilians and no one is sure why', 28 March 2017.

In the whole month of March 2017 Coalition jets killed between 1,800 and 3,500 civilians in Syrian and Iraq. We could go on ad infinitum. Coalition airstrikes are killing innocent civilians, including children every day in Mosul – and no one seems to care.

### *Syria*

- 1 April 2017: five killed, including a mother and her child in Raqqa, Syria.
- 1 March 2017: up to 12 civilians (including 4 children) killed in airstrike on Al Tabaqa, Syria.
- 1 March 2017: up to five civilians died in Kasrat Sheikh al Juma's village, Raqqa, Syria.
- 1 March 2017: five died at Al Rasafa, near Raqqa.

Again we could continue; every day Syrian civilians are being killed by NATO warplanes.

Then note that the airstrike commanded by Trump of a Syrian airbase, in retaliation to the gassing of children, killed five children. Why no photographs of these dead children in the west? The 59 cruise missiles fired (at \$1.4m each) did so little damage to the runway that aircraft were able to take off the next day, but many residential properties were hit, including a turnip field. So much for American military accuracy.

### *Stockholm*

Images of a dismembered child victim of Muslim attack in Stockholm were never shown, nor proper coverage given in the news.

### *Nice*

No images were shown of the 10 dead children after the Nice attack by a Muslim diving a truck into crowds.

### *Syria*

The video of American backed jihadis (al-Zenki) sawing off a ten-year old sick boy's head in the back of a truck was completely ignored by western media. In fact Channel 4 actually lauded the exact same group of terrorists and anti-Assad freedom fighters. At the same time an image of a dirty and bloodied child (a supposed victim of a Syrian airstrike) was used as propaganda to support the rebels that were killing children.

### *Egypt*

No images were shown of the dead children after a Muslim attack on Christians in Egypt.

Without giving more examples, such as jihadi attacks all over the world that kill civilians, it is clear that the western media is only concerned with the deaths of those that further the elite agenda.

You are being manipulated.

## **No-go zones in Sweden don't exist (apparently)**

Liberals and the western media have repeatedly denied that there are terrible problems in Sweden caused by Islamic migrants, including areas that have become so unsafe for civilians that they are called 'no-go zones'. This is now a very clear lie. Some examples:

- PostNord has stopped mail deliveries to Hjulsta suburb of Rinkeby because it's not safe.
- Police have told journalists not to go to this area or they would be attacked.
- An Australian film crew was attacked in this area by Muslims.
- An English child was murdered by migrants in Malmo.

- Swedish police have identified 53 areas that have been declared unsafe for civilians to walk in. In some of these grenades have been exploded in the street.
- The largest IKEA store in Vasteras, Sweden was subject to an attack by an Eritrean Muslim asylum seeker who killed a 57-year old mother and her son, beheading the mother with knives stolen from the store while shouting 'Allahu Akbar'. IKEA's response was to stop selling kitchen knives; the state's response was to send police to guard Muslim asylum areas.<sup>6</sup>
- All these areas have high concentrations of Muslim migrants and locals blame Muslim migrants while official published statistics do not identify the race, religion or ethnicity of criminals. Alternative media filmed reports show Muslim migrants rioting in the streets, causing criminal damage and threatening reporters.

The political narrative of the Swedish liberal government, the EU and the British government is that the situation in Sweden is normal and that the recent crime wave of rapes, murders, riots and burglaries have nothing to do with migrants. One Swedish state representative on *The Daily Politics* (BBC TV) had the nerve to say that Swedish crimes had gone down and that there was no migrant problem.

## Slavery

Libya under Gaddafi had the highest standard of living in Africa and a higher standard than America. Gaddafi had initiated hundreds of social development projects and was generous with oil profits. Couples that got married were given a \$50,000 gift. Every family got regular cheques, say of \$100 every few months. Schools and hospitals were of a high standard. Life was good. As a socialist secular state religions were tolerated.

After the intervention of America and Britain in 2011, Libya was destroyed and is now a haunt of jackals. Islamic jihadi groups are fighting in a civil war to run the country. The infrastructure is ruined and even middle class families are fleeing the country to Spain as refugees. I have explained this before.

What is new is that Muslim slave trade has become a common feature in Libya. Slave markets have opened up for Black African victims migrating into Libya en route to Europe. One survivor from Senegal explained that migrants fleeing central and western Africa, such as Niger, pay smugglers to transport them to the coast in Libya. Instead the smugglers put their passengers up for sale in Sabha, Libya.

Victims explain that slave markets and private prisons have opened up all over Libya where people are forced to work without pay on meagre rations or are ransomed; many die of unsanitary conditions and disease. Migrants too weak to work that don't get ransomed are killed.<sup>7</sup>

The hypocritical elite (mainly the US and media) constantly bang on about the evil effects of the slavery of Black Africans transported to America in the 19<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> centuries (ignoring the larger feature of white Christian slavery) but have fostered slave markets in Libya by their utterly stupid intervention.

This is to say nothing of the mass rapes, murders and kidnappings in Libya.

---

<sup>6</sup> This occurred in August 2015, see Aftonbladet (Swedish press), Truth Revolt, 'Sweden protecting asylum seekers after one allegedly beheads victim inside IKEA', 14 August 2015.

<sup>7</sup> Russia Insider, 'Are you into slavery? Then you should definitely be backing US regime change in Syria', 19 April 2017.

The US emotionally bleats about slavery and oppression but causes mass suffering wherever it goes in the world.

## Donald Trump's hypocrisy

It has not taken Trump very long to disappoint those who hoped that he would be a different type of president. In fact he is renegeing on all his campaign promises:

During the election campaign	After inauguration
Threatened to prosecute Hillary Clinton for her many proven crimes.	No prosecution. 'She's been through enough'. In fact Trump is fulfilling her suggestions, such as the missile strike on Syria.
NATO is outdated and past its prime.	NATO is now indispensable.
Criticised the EU.	Now making back-door deals with the EU via Angela Merkel.
China is an enemy and responsible for millions of lost American jobs.	China is now America's friend.
Regime change in Syria is none of America's business.	Regime change in Syria is necessary (this position keeps changing).
US military action in the Middle East is foolish.	Ramped up airstrikes in Iraq. Missile strikes on Syria. Boots on the ground in northern Syria, Threats of escalation of military action in the UN.
Will destory ISIS.	The US continues to support ISIS.
Avoid international war and America being the world's policeman.	Has brought the world closer to WWII than any other president within three months. Provocation to North Korea, Syria, Russia and action in Somalia. Increased troops in Afghanistan.
Will do a deal with Putin and scale down aggression.	Has provoked Russia to the point of war. Russian warplanes buzzed Alaska in response.
Supported Julian Assange and WikiLeaks.	Has ordered the arrest of Assange.
Let's make America great again.	America is on the point of ruin: the dollar is about to collapse. The Fed has not been reformed. Debt owed and national debt is about 200 trillion. Default is inevitable. The Deep State is still in control. The infrastructure continues to crumble (n.b. major power failures in New York, San Francisco and LA on 21 April).
As a result of all these reversals there are claims that Trump has completely alienated the Alt Right that put him in power. Furthermore, this supports the Libertarian and Anarchist claims that there will be no improvement to America while the Deep State controls politics and that an armed insurrection is the only way forward. Civil War is a possibility.	

Instead of draining the swamp he was forced to eject his radicals (like Flynn) and filled half of his staff with CFR members.<sup>8</sup> His foreign policy has thus been appalling and even more aggressive than Obama.

There is a school of thought (dismissed as a conspiracy theory) that whenever an American president is sworn in he is taken aside into a private meeting with the elite who use any means to make him subservient to elite policies – blackmail, violent threats, threats to family etc. Thus presidents that could have blocked the elite strategies cave in and become ineffective (example: Jimmy Carter) others that do not cave in are assassinated (JFK, Bobby Kennedy). In this way the global elite (the deep state) have controlled American politics since Woodrow Wilson. Notice how both left and right wing presidents all end up pushing the same continual agendas.

In the same way as former wars began, the mainstream media has been filling the population with emotional propaganda that is designed to manipulate people into supporting various possible wars that could escalate into world war; whether it is a US-led war against Syria, rejuvenation of war in Afghanistan, more action in Iraq, potential war

<sup>8</sup> Council on Foreign Relations; a key elite group dealing with foreign policy.

with Iran, North Korea and other places plus the continual threats against Russia and China. American foreign policy is nothing short of insanity.<sup>9</sup>

Fake poll numbers have boosted Trump's popularity showing supposed public support for military actions. This has resulted in headlines such as, '*Trump's poll numbers rise for every bomb he drops*'.<sup>10</sup> Notice that when Trump campaigned on radical issues that resonated with ordinary Americans his poll numbers were low. Now that he is doing what the global elite wants in foreign policy his poll numbers are high. Both of these were fixed.

The only people who gain from this are the industrial military complex that will make billions of dollars from military exploits, plus the global elite who have long planned for a Third World War.

## Syria

- Trump continued supporting ISIS and escalated airstrikes in Syria plus sending boots on the ground via Jordan.
- He initiated an illegal airstrike on a Syrian airbase (an act of war) despite previously criticising Obama for doing less.
- He thus provoked Russia when he had said that he wanted more cordial relations. This could have started a world war except for Putin's patience.
- He bemoaned dead children allegedly gassed by Assad but then killed five Syrian children in his airstrike.
- He continued his airstrikes on Mosul (see earlier) killing children.
- The Syrian air base was the chief defence of a nearby Christian town under serious assault by ISIS. Thus Trump assisted ISIS by providing air attacks that would enable them to overrun the Christian town more easily.
- The continual airstrikes in Mosul are killing the main centre of Christians in Iraq.
- The US has two large warships in the East Mediterranean fully equipped for war.
- Reports are surfacing from whistleblowers that a land war with American soldiers in Syria is on the cards for June. The American ambassador has already formally threatened unilateral US action against Syria.

Furthermore, terrorists funded and supported by the US killed 126 Syrian Shi'ite men, women and children in Al-Fu'ah and Kafrayah. These were being evacuated as part of a deal made by Assad. Children were lured to the explosion site with food and then killed. The media ignored this completely.<sup>11</sup> US tax dollars paid for this massacre.

## North Korea

- Trump provoked North Korea towards war with nuclear threats. Remember that America and North Korea are still technically at war; no peace treaty was ever signed to end the Korean War [1950-53].
- Two naval strike groups are supposedly bound for the Korean waters comprising at least two aircraft carriers in addition to a fleet already present in the South China Sea.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> Ron Paul: 'Neocons in Trump administration are pushing US conflict with Russia'. Ron Paul Institute, 18 April 2017.

<sup>10</sup> AntiMedia, 18 April 2017.

<sup>11</sup> Mint Press News, Whitney Webb; 'Al Jazeera, CNN & BBC cover up genocidal crimes of US-backed Syrian rebels', 19 April 2017.

<sup>12</sup> There is confusion about this. The additional ships are currently in the Indian Ocean.

- Kim Jong Un has warned reporters and the population that a major event is coming soon and some areas have already been evacuated.
- To respond to American threats, North Korea continues to launch ballistic missiles in tests and has claimed to be able to launch nuclear missiles capable of reaching US soil and obliterating South Korea.

Note that both China and Russia are allied to North Korea and have pledged to defend it if attacked. Thus an American ground assault or missile strike on North Korea would start World War 3. Chinese forces are in readiness on the North Korean border.

### **Provocation of Russia**

- 59 Tomahawk missiles fired on Syria.
- ISIS drone drops NATO munitions on Syrian children (a NATO-Bulgarian GLV-HEF projectile). Syrian forces shot down one drone that had been targeting civilians in eastern Syria and recovered the projectile.<sup>13</sup>
- Cartridge cases from NATO high explosion fragmentation rounds produced in Bulgaria have been found near Nabi Younes, Latakia, Syria. These shells were produced in 2014. Thus ISIS and al-Qaeda have the most up to date NATO military equipment.<sup>14</sup>
- There have been US threats against Iran in the UN Security Council.
- Turkish (NATO) troops in northern Syria are trying to steal land.
- A Russian major<sup>15</sup> was killed in firefight with US sponsored militants.
- There is an increasing US presence in Afghanistan.
- There are more military bases on Russian borders, including missile silos.
- US Ukrainian puppet president Poroshenko has demanded the introduction of international forces in Ukraine based on false flags accusing Russia of terrorist attacks.
- America has threatened intervention in North Korea.

Thus there are increasing warlike tensions surrounding Russia: in the northwestern, the western, the southwestern and the southern borders.

### **Afghanistan**

Trump has just sent 300 Marines to Afghanistan (Helmand Province). This is the largest deployment of Marines since 2014 and contradicts the previous promises to withdraw all US troops. These troops will join the existing American forces, which have been designated as 'advisors'. Colonel Matthew Reid confirmed that the Marines are always deployed '*with a combat mindset*'.

Note that President Bush, who invaded Afghanistan, promised to pull US troops out when the job was done. 16 years later Americans are still involved in a foolish military action that has done no good and does no good (except to the elite that profit from it).<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> Russia Insider, 'ISIS drone drops NATO munitions on Syrian children', 20 April 2017.

<sup>14</sup> Russia Insider, 'ISIS drone drops NATO munitions on Syrian children', 20 April 2017.

<sup>15</sup> Major Sergie Bordov.

<sup>16</sup> The alleged reason for invading Afghanistan was to defeat the Taliban who were claimed to have assisted in 9/11 when there was far more evidence that Saudi Arabia was involved. Thus the invasion was based on a lie (typical for the US). Nearly 20 years later the Taliban are stronger than ever and yesterday inflicted the Afghan army with 100 dead casualties, the biggest ever defeat for US trained forces.

## **Somalia**

Trump has effectively started a war in Somalia by sending in troops.<sup>17</sup> This is 24 years after the 'Black Hawk Down' disaster.<sup>18</sup> The US is also conducting airstrikes in Somalia.

Why would America do something so stupid? It is because Somalia holds one of the largest oil and gas reserves in the world. Just like military action in Iraq, Libya and Syria, it is all to do with establishing power centres and oil production sites and pipelines

The excuse is to fight terrorist groups operating in Somalia – but al-Qaeda and ISIS are not present. Yet the US is funding and supporting al-Qaeda and ISIS in Syria.

## **Trump gives friendship to terrible people**

Despite missile and airstrikes on Syria to help ISIS when Assad is their fiercest opponent, and selling arms to Saudi Arabia, who fund global terrorism, Trump also welcomed some very dubious people.

He recently welcomed General Sisi of Egypt. This man has put thousands of dissidents in jail, executed political opponents (often through terrible torture). Trump then assured Sisi of the continuance of \$1.5 billion stipend to the Egyptian military.

Trump also recently met with Saudi Crown Prince Muhammad bin Salman who was grinning when he left the Oval Office. Saudi royals have presided over 10,000 plus casualties, including 4,000 dead men, women and children bombed by Saudi aircraft over Yemen. Some of these bombs were Textron<sup>19</sup>-supplied percussion bombs, which upon impact leave behind brightly coloured balls that are unexploded bomblets, which kill children.

All this puts Trump on the side of evil warmongers getting involved in foreign military actions – the very people he condemned while campaigning.

## **Whistleblowers**

Trump gained much traction in the election campaign as a result of the information provided by whistleblowers to WikiLeaks, such as the publication of Hillary Clinton's (and her colleagues') emails.

Now that he is in power, Trump is preparing charges against Julian Assange in order to get him arrested and extradited.<sup>20</sup>

## **Peace is not a goal**

The global elite seeks constant war. That has been long understood. Companies that are part of the Deep State make billions out of wars. Global strategic goals are gained by wars. Cabals rule strategic areas through wars. Territories are changed through wars. Regimes are dethroned by wars. Oil supplies are safeguarded through wars. And so on.

Thus when Russia tried to broker a peace treaty in Syria, the US did everything it could to thwart it.

---

<sup>17</sup> Newsweek, Conor Gaffey; 'Why is Trump sending more US troops to Somalia?', 19 April 2017.

<sup>18</sup> Black Hawk Down is the Ridley Scott graphic movie depicting the events in Somalia that killed 18 US Special Forces.

<sup>19</sup> American elite aerospace, defence, security conglomerate.

<sup>20</sup> CNN Politics, 'Sources: US prepares charges to see arrest of WikiLeaks' Julian Assange', 20 April 2017.



A Free Syrian Army source told Al Jazeera that the CIA had commanded that it must not join the Moscow-sponsored Astana talks in which Turkey participated with Russia, Iran and Syria. High-level US officials also did not attend. The Syrian rebels were paid not to attend.<sup>21</sup>

## The UK is not at war

Pull the other one!

### Airstrikes

Since the campaign began in Iraq in 2014, the RAF had carried out over 800 airstrikes: 760 in Iraq and 43 in Syria - as of May 2016. [And you thought the UK's war in Iraq was finished long ago!] By September 2016 the RAF had flown 2,300 sorties by Tornados; 1503 sorties by Reaper drones and 859 sorties by Typhoons.

Between January and March 2016 alone RAF Typhoons<sup>22</sup> dropped 252 Raytheon (elite military group) Paveway IV precision-guided bombs. Reapers (drones) dropped 47 Hellfire missiles and 5 laser-guided bombs. Tornados<sup>23</sup> dropped 147 Paveway IVs and 42 Brimstone missiles.

Paveway bombs cost over £22,000. Brimstone bombs cost £105,000. Hellfire missiles cost £71,000.

As of 10 May 2016 the Coalition<sup>24</sup> had flown 91,821 sorties in Iraq and Syria; including 8,322 airstrikes in Iraq and 3,877 airstrikes in Syria. The UK government said that it carried out 8% of the coalition airstrikes in Iraq.

As of 9 April 2017, the RAF dropped bombs on 69 of the 99 days of the year so far.<sup>25</sup> In that time at least 216 bombs were dropped by the RAF. The MOD will not confirm the exact number. By April 2017 the RAF dropped at least 129 Paveway IV precision guided bombs costing at least £2,838,000. The UK government has no money to fund mental health care properly or relieve the pressure on the NHS but can spend nearly three million pounds killing innocent civilians in the Middle East. Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson has today (7 May 2017) affirmed that bombing raids could be ramped up if America demands it.

Despite claims that the RAF only targets ISIS, multiple reports on the ground allege that airstrikes are continually killing civilians and rarely kill any ISIS troops (who seem to have intelligence about impending strikes). In one case an RAF airstrike on Mosul killed 200 civilians. The MOD is investigating.<sup>26</sup>

How can it make any strategic or humanitarian sense to bomb villages and suburbs of a city to hope to target a few terrorists? It is obvious that scores of civilians will be killed. Local (including government officials) have affirmed that all sorts of urban infrastructure have been blown up: domestic dwellings, markets, offices, schools, civil departments,

---

<sup>21</sup> Russia Insider, 'Syrian rebels: CIA paid us not to attend Russia-sponsored peace talks', 18 April 2017.

<sup>22</sup> The UK's most recent Joint Strike fighter-bomber.

<sup>23</sup> Panavia Tornado GR4 fighter-bomber.

<sup>24</sup> The Coalition is: USA, UK, Australia, Belgium, Denmark, France, Jordan, & the Netherlands. In Syria it includes Turkey, Saudi Arabia and UAE.

<sup>25</sup> BBC report 15 April.

<sup>26</sup> The Sun, Sam Webb; 'Bombed by Britain? RAF jets hit ISIS in Mosul the same day an air strike wiped out 200 civilians', 26 March 2017.

streets, water supplies, fields, and so on. Local social media has many images of the devastation caused by RAF bombs that the west rarely (if ever) publishes.

The UK government claims to have spent at least £7.8 million bombing Syria between December 2015 and September 2016 (Operation Shader<sup>27</sup>), but the true figure is almost certainly much higher.<sup>28</sup> Who knows what the current cost is? It must be approaching billions.

Up to date figures are very hard to come by but we can presume that all the above statistics have been surpassed by a large degree by May 2017.

### **Airdrops**

The RAF has made multiple drops of equipment to supposed rebels (actually ISIS) in Syria at a cost of millions of pounds. You can find YouTube videos of ISIS opening dropped weapons and equipment. You can find videos of Syrian troops finding NATO/Israeli/UK/US equipment in stores of positions they have captured.

For example: two British planes supplying IS were shot down by Iraqi forces in al-Anbar province.<sup>29</sup> The press release came from the Iraqi parliament supported by photographic evidence.

The Iraqi Parliament's National Security and Defence Committee has access to the photos of both planes that are British and have crashed while they were carrying weapons for ISIL.

Hakem al-Zameli, Head of the committee.

The cost of this is staggering. Just one RAF airdrop of medical and communication equipment cost £8m.

For details on this see my paper, 'Who created ISIS?'

### **Land route supplies**

Ratlines have been long established to supply terrorist groups via Turkey. This includes weapons, munitions, medical equipment, vehicles, uniforms and communication equipment.

As I explain elsewhere, Hillary Clinton ensured that chemical weapons were supplied to terrorists in Syria from the supplies looted in Libya and transported by the CIA and MI6 to Turkey and thence Syria.

### **Troops**

Details are almost impossible to find, but we are assured that elite forces and others have been present in Iraq, Jordan and Syria for a long time. The SAS are training rebels that are actually Islamic terrorists in places like Jordan.

---

<sup>27</sup> The codename for Britain's war against ISIS in the Middle East.

<sup>28</sup> International Business Times, Ian Silvera; 'How much has the RAF spent on missiles to bomb Islamic State in Syria?', 15 September 2016.

<sup>29</sup> Global Research, 'Iraqi army downs two British planes carrying weapons for ISIL terrorists', from FARS News Agency, 24 Feb 2015.

## The war against Christians

I have made the point elsewhere that the actions of the deep state are to foment an Islamic jihadi uprising that not only threatens western Christian values in society and precipitates civil war, but also specifically targets Christians. The media never mentions this.

The actions of the US in recent foreign policy interventions have always killed Christians and damaged churches. Note this well: America is directly responsible for the deaths and suffering of thousands of Christians.

- Iraq: Christians had been tolerated in Iraq for centuries and even under Saddam Hussein churches were free to practice their religion. As a result of the US-led Iraq War and the follow up, thousands of Christians have been killed, hundreds of churches either destroyed or abandoned and many thousands of Christians have fled the country. One of the last remnants of Christianity in Mosul is currently being continually bombarded by American airstrikes (see elsewhere in this paper).
- Libya: as a Socialist state, even though ostensibly Muslim, Christians were able to flourish. Now Christians have had to flee Islamic oppression and many have been killed.
- Syria: the Muslim terrorists funded by America that are fighting Assad's regime have killed hundreds of Christians and have deliberately targeted Christian communities. American airstrikes act like ISIS air cover, attacking Syrian positions that dominate terrorist-held ground. Some commentators affirm that 70% of the Christian population of Syria has been eradicated.<sup>30</sup>
- Attacks are continually occurring by Islamic terrorists (often sponsored by America)<sup>31</sup> in other countries such as Egypt. ISIS released a video claiming that they would eliminate Egypt's Christians.

Rarely do journalists say anything about the attacks on Christians effected by American sponsored ISIS and al-Qaeda terrorists all over the world. However, of all people, Piers Morgan was interviewed on US television following the Palm Sunday suicide attacks on churches in Egypt. He said,

What happened in Egypt was unbelievably significant ... what ISIS really stands for, what they are carrying out in the Middle East, and in Egypt in particular, is a kind of genocidal attack on Christians and Christianity. They want Christianity eradicated, ... they want it to be a Holy War, and they want Christians gone. And I don't think that narrative is getting the attention it should get in the American media, and that's the same in other media around the world.<sup>32</sup>

### ASIDE

The explanation for American foreign policy that seems to be partially directed against Christians is due to the Petro-Dollar scam that undergirds the US economy. This was developed by Kissinger and Nixon in the early 1970s, when the gold standard was abandoned, which put America in close partnership with Saudi Arabia. At the same time Saudi Arabia was becoming more committed to Wahhabi radicalism. Thus Saudi Arabia began financing Islamic terrorists, as the Saudi leaders became fabulously rich.

<sup>30</sup> Don Hank; Laigle's Forum, 'Three international provocations thanks to the US and its provocateurs', 24 April 2017. [This is a Christian forum.]

<sup>31</sup> I have proved elsewhere that America developed, funded, trained, and equipped ISIS and various al-Qaeda factions (there are many sub-groups) for political purposes, such as assisting regime change in Syria and Libya.

<sup>32</sup> Barnabas Fund, Newsdesk, 20 April 2017, 'United States – Islamic State's war against Christians not getting attention in Western media'.

At some point an alliance was also made between Israel and Saudi Arabia based on common goals, sponsored by America as an intermediary. Countries that had leaders hostile to Israel (Syria, Iran, Libya, Iraq) were also Shi'ite, Ba'athist or Alawite and were automatic enemies of Wahhabi Saudi Sunni Islam. Regime change of these countries was in the interest of America (gaining political control of areas and oil pipelines), and Israel & Saudi Arabia - removing Shi'ite leaders and killing Shi'ite populations, removing secular leaders and killing pagans and Christians, and enabling the long-planned for Greater Israel (e.g. Israel annexing part of Syria and Iraq; settling Kurds in a new Kurdistan etc.).

### Consider

I have explained elsewhere that Britain is under attack and that forces are mostly directed against Christianity. The global elite, mostly through liberal agendas in all areas of society, is seeking to destroy all the Christian bases that undergird society. The chief means used in this warfare is in the media, the educational system, the EU, globalism and politics.

The war against Christianity is in full flow and it is sponsored and supported by the elite-controlled western nations, including Britain. But consider the hypocrisy:

- The Queen of England is the legal head of (and defender of) the Established Church.
- The Prime Minister is a self-confessed Christian.
- The basis of British law is Christian.
- Law courts still use the Bible and accept the term 'Almighty God' to swear oaths and promises.
- The oath of allegiance sworn by British MPs is '*by Almighty God*'.
- Much of the basic infrastructure of society was developed by Christians and churches, including the first schools, hospitals, universities, orphanages etc.
- Poor relief throughout British history was led by Christians.
- The champions of social freedom, such as anti-slavery abolitionists, were Christians.
- Many social reformers were Christians, such as Elizabeth Fry (prison reform).
- The establishment of social suburbs and proper dwellings for industrial workers was first initiated by Christians.
- Britain was the first declared Christian country in the world, established by Celts and Romans.
- The beginnings of England were initiated by Alfred the Great<sup>33</sup> (a Christian) and his grandson (Athelstan).<sup>34</sup>
- The beginning of the British navy was established by a Christian (Alfred).
- The beginnings of modern parliamentary democracy were established by Christians such as Oliver Cromwell.
- The establishment of a well-trained, formal, standing British army was established by a Christian (Cromwell).
- The largest current UK food-bank was founded by, and is run by, a Christian (supplying free food to over a million people per annum).

---

<sup>33</sup> Alfred (known as Alfred the Great) (849–99) King of Wessex from 871–99. Alfred's military resistance saved SW England from Viking occupation. He negotiated the treaty giving the Danelaw to the Norsemen (886). A great reformer, he reorganised his land-based garrisons, founded the English navy, issued a new code of laws, introduced administrative and financial changes, revived learning, and promoted the use of English for literature and education.

<sup>34</sup> Athelstan (895–939) King of England between 925–39. Effectively the first king of all England, Athelstan came to the thrones of Wessex and Mercia in 924 before becoming king of all England a year later. He successfully invaded both Scotland and Wales and inflicted a heavy defeat on an invading Danish army.

- Many thousands of Christians from Britain laid down their lives for the sake of helping<sup>35</sup> and bringing the Gospel to foreign nations (where they were loved by the way); such as David Livingstone, Mary Slessor, CT Studd, Hudson Taylor etc.

We could continue in this vein if we had time. The basis of Britain is Christianity, the reason for the success of Britain is Christianity; yet the nation is now under the control of powers that seek to destroy it.

## **The hypocrisy of death statistics**

The media rages because of the deaths of a 100 or so people in a gas attack – even though there has been no investigation, some events seem to be staged and there is no formal evidence for anything at all, only the reports of biased terrorist groups (which have previously been found to be fake).

Yet the media says nothing today about the million plus innocent people killed as a result of the intervention of NATO in Iraq; or the millions more that have suffered as a result of NATO actions (e.g. starvation, loss of earnings, homes bombed, schools bombed, hospitals bombed etc.).

The media says nothing about the terrible situation in Libya, caused by the US, where untold numbers have been killed, starved, raped, flogged, sold into slavery or have been forced to flee.

The media says nothing about over 300,000 people, mostly civilians, killed in Syria as a result of the actions led by America.

The media says nothing about the untold numbers of deaths in Ukraine that have followed the American-led coup and regime change and support of anti-Russian forces.

## **Islamic jihad**

The hypocrisy of the west regarding Islamic jihad is astounding.

Islam is based on jihad; it is a foundational aspect of true Islam. Muhammad repeatedly taught jihad in the Qur'an and the Hadith, commanding Muslims to kill all non-Muslims and apostate Muslims. There is no separation of political Islam from religious Islam; the more spiritual side of early Islam morphed into political Islam after Muhammad fled to Medina and established a Muslim government based on war. Thus the Qur'an demands that Muslims attack Christians, Jews and pagans in over a hundred verses. Muhammad even said that he was never happier than when he had a sword in his hand. His wedding present to his new 9-year old wife was making her watch 80 Jews be beheaded in Medina.

There is no such thing as peaceful Islam; Muslims are commanded to conquer the whole world and kill everyone that does not submit to Sharia law and worshipping Allah.

Western liberal governments are bending over backward to indoctrinate people to think that Islam is peaceful, moderate and no threat to security. This is arrant nonsense, as any reading of the Islamic texts will demonstrate. Despite growing provocation by increasing numbers of Muslims in urban enclaves, native people are threatened with prosecution for

---

<sup>35</sup> Often missionaries were first trained as doctors. In foreign nations they established surgeries, hospitals, schools, orphanages and many more things.

Islamophobia if they speak out. Liberal governments are going out of their way to protect and cherish even violent Muslim areas, but threaten prison to folk that just speak the truth. Christians that preach the Gospel in streets where there are high levels of Muslims have been imprisoned in England recently for public disorder.

In the face of multiple acts of Muslim aggression: suicide bombs, beheadings, attacks, shootings, stabbings, rapes, paedophile rings, and lesser crimes, (in France, Germany, Sweden, Britain, and America), liberal governments still hide the true facts about Islam and protect Muslims.

At the same time as protecting the rights of Muslims in the West, America and NATO countries are supposedly bombing and attacking Muslim terrorists in Syria and Iraq. Millions are being spent every week in multiple military actions.

Yet the fact is that America is responsible for the very existence of al Qaeda and ISIS. Al Qaeda did not exist as a formal terrorist group until the US used this word (that was to designate a database of terrorists) to label anti-American terrorists after 9/11. ISIS did not exist until the combination of American policies in Iraq and Libya. America then funded and supplied these very groups (sometimes under different faction names) in order to effect regime change in Syria.

Using these Muslim jihadis as proxies for war against Assad, your taxes were used to set up, finance, supply, train and equip ISIS. I have explained this many times. The US was directly paying al-Qaeda to recruit terrorists; you can see a video where al-Qaeda explain that the US gave them \$600 for every soldier they trained in the Middle East. Other jihadis were trained by NATO elite forces in Jordan. American, Israeli and British airstrikes continually acted as air-cover for ISIS military actions. For years (until the Russian got engaged) NATO warplanes completely ignored the long convoys of ISIS trucks taking stolen oil to Turkey. Video after video has shown captured ISIS positions revealing NATO weapons and equipment. In one supply mission alone the RAF dropped million of pounds worth of medical and communication equipment to ISIS.

Then consider the Islamic nations that the west befriends and those it attacks.

Due to the Petro-Dollar economic scam (which will soon collapse as China, Russia and India adopt gold transfers) America is committed to supporting Saudi Arabia and its Wahhabist terrorism (to say nothing of domestic human rights violations).<sup>36</sup> No country promotes international terrorism more than Saudi Arabia, which also funds the radicalisation of Muslims in Europe. The alleged perpetrators of the 9/11 attacks were mostly Saudis. The chief funders of ISIS and al Qaeda is Saudi Arabia. Yet America and NATO is allied to this awful country. Britain has sold it billions of pounds worth of warplanes and missiles.

The same situation applies exactly to the Gulf States, such as Qatar.

So the liberal west fully supports the most extreme form of Wahhabi Sunni Islam, typified by ISIS, by allying with Saud Arabia and the Gulf States.

On the other hand there are, and have been, Islamic states that do not support Wahhabism. There are various types.

---

<sup>36</sup> E.g women cannot drive a car or leave the house alone. Many women are beheaded or stoned for civil offences. Women are repeatedly beaten both at home and in public places.

- Alawite Islam is a modernist, tolerant type of Shi'ite Islam practised in Syria. It holds together numerous ethnic and religious groups in peace and harmony. Christians have found a home there for centuries, protected by the rulers, and especially by Assad. America and NATO are virtually at war (by proxies) with this country and are demanding regime change of a sovereign state.
- Iran is the main base for Shi'ite Islam that has not supported Muslim terrorism at all. There is zero evidence for any terrorist attack on the west sponsored by Iran. America has long determined to go to war with Iran and Hillary Clinton threatened to 'nuke it'.
- I have already explained the position of Libya, which was Socialist. America has ruined this nation.
- Iraq was another type of modern Islam (Ba'athism) that was effectively secular. Christians had been tolerated for centuries and Iraq was never any threat to the west whatsoever (in fact it was once an ally of the US). NATO invaded this country, wrecked it and created a maelstrom in the Middle East.

Apart from political objectives, oil issues, and the wrath of Saudi Arabia to these countries, the crucial issue was the threat to the Petro-Dollar system. All of them have posed a threat to the Petro-Dollar hegemony.

Gaddafi was about to sell his oil in Dinars based on gold and this threatened the Petro-Dollar.

Saddam Hussein launched a plan to sell his oil in Euros, and this threatened the Petro-Dollar.

Iran is allied to Russia and is likely to join its international financial transfer system based on gold.

Syria is allied to Iran and Russia and will probably join the Russian transfer system. It has also refused to have a Rothschild central bank and rejected plans for oil-pipelines from the east to the west.

So all the bluster in the media about humanitarian concerns that are an excuse for wars and military actions are nothing but hypocritical lies. When it suits America, Muslims are supported, financed and sponsored to do whatever they want. When it suits strategies, western liberal governments tolerate and protect Muslims, even when they are committing major crimes internally and starting to develop an alternate state within a state. Yet when it suits America certain Muslim countries and factions are targeted for military action and war.

## **Hypocrisy of politics**

I am not going to give a big analysis of the breadth of politics here but simply make some pertinent observations.

In the last few decades politics has altered significantly, which is why people are sick and tired of it as it no longer represents them. Politics, at least in the UK but also other western democracies, is no longer about the right versus the left, that has all gone.

The establishment is now mostly left wing, liberal in outlook – that includes the media, education and academia. This is because the global elite push left wing ideologies and control these social aspects of infrastructure.

### **Libertarianism versus authoritarianism**

There are two features which dominate politics and which delineate one aspect from the other.

The first is the battle between authoritarianism and libertarianism. There are those who seek to bring about a near totalitarian, unelected government of the few ruling over a majority of serfs. The EU is like this. That was its original aim when it was being developed by Richard von Coudenhove-Kalergi and that is how it operates. When France voted no in a referendum to bring about the new EU constitution (as did other states), the EU simply rewrote it as the Lisbon Treaty and then imposed it from above with no vote and no representation. It deliberately ignored the will of the people. That is authoritarianism. When a handful of unelected EU Commissioners determine policies and strategies that member states and the EU Parliament can do virtually nothing to change (and cannot initiate policy), then that is authoritarianism.

As a result of growing authoritarianism creeping in to western democracies (in America you have things like Homeland Security and the Patriot Act) there has been an increase in the number of people favouring either anarchism or libertarianism. Genuine anarchists believe in no government and letting things find their own way through local expressions.<sup>37</sup> Libertarians approach this, favouring a free market economy, civil liberty (not neo-liberalism) and the will of the people, not centralised federalism. In America Ron Paul would be a former politician that favours libertarianism. Commentator James Corbett would be an example of a true anarchist (not a violent radical or a terrorist).

### **Globalism (including corporatism) versus nationalism (populism)**

Perhaps the main international divide in politics today is the confrontation between the globalists and the nationalists. All the recent markers in political history show the rise of nationalism: Brexit, Trump's election campaign, Greek politics, Dutch politics, French politics and the rise of many EU states demanding a referendum on membership.

The globalists want to eradicate nation states (that was the original aim of the founders of the EU). They claim that nation states start wars (they do not, bankers start and enable wars to further elite objectives).<sup>38</sup> The prime goal is a world government with political, monetary and fiscal unity. Thus all the elite strategies are to further global domination in every area of society; for example:

- The control of all agriculture into a central body, including holding all the seeds in the world in a central seed bank that farmers have to purchase from. Genetically modified plants (and even animals)<sup>39</sup> are a part of this plan. Big Agro, with its reliance upon pesticides and herbicides dominate agriculture and destroy small, local farmers using traditional methods, as well as ruining the environment. The evils of this policy would take a long book; the slow eradication of bees and butterflies is just one aspect.
- The establishment of a Rothschild central bank in every single territory. This is nearly complete and was part of the reason for the invasion of Iraq and Libya, the insurrection in Syria and the confrontation with Russia.
- Domination of the Petro-Dollar system and fiat money based upon debt.

---

<sup>37</sup> Some small-scale experiments of this have actually worked. Note also that Belgium was without a government for two years and nothing changed.

<sup>38</sup> Note that without loans by central banks, no nation could continue any war for any lengthy period. The debts created by wars continue for decades (the UK WWII debt was finally paid off in 2006).

<sup>39</sup> Recently certain insects have been genetically modified and released into the wild to supposedly control disease.



- Most of the world's corporations are owned by about five individuals. Firms are owned by bigger corporations and these are owned by global groups.
- Half of the world wealth is owned by about ten individuals.
- Slow destruction of the UK's NHS to be distributed into pieces and sold to globalist corporations. This is already well underway.<sup>40</sup>
- Treaties like TTIP ensure that global corporations have more legal rights than sovereign states.

As more of this has begun to be understood, there has been a rise of nationalist parties that are becoming more popular. UKIP, Brexit, Gert Wilder and Marine Le Pen are obvious examples, but there are many more. Trump stood for this (*'make America great again'*) but immediately succumbed to the global elite when in office. There is also a rise of tribalism within nations (note SNP, Plaid Cymru, support for a new anti-federalist confederacy in the US).

Though these are defamed as 'far right', in fact they are primarily nationalistic in outlook. The defamation of Marine Le Pen in the western media is appalling when her policies are sensible and support French workers while Macron is the globalist puppet whose policies are a sham based upon market research (telling people what they want to hear) and are financially unsupportable.<sup>41</sup> He is another Obama or Blair – an attractive, eloquent stooge to further Socialist globalist policies.

### **The left has changed**

The left used to stand for nationalism. In past decades it fully supported the rise of nationalism in colonial countries and supported their anti-imperial demands for independence. It supported their demands for national sovereignty, breaking with their colonial past, establishing self-determination, setting up their own currencies and banking systems, developing their own foreign and domestic policy; in short the left was thoroughly nationalistic.

Slowly after 1959 this changed, as the left gradually became globalist in outlook and anti-nationalistic. As left-wing factions controlled the British infrastructure (same in the US) a Socialist outlook dominated education and the media and this favoured globalist agendas. Thus the Labour Party leaders, for example, ensured the entrance into the EEC (Common Market) when most Labour members at that time were opposed to it and it was a Tory strategy. Over time the left became more and more globalist. Note that the majority of Labour voters voted to remain in the 2016 referendum.

Some key New Labour figures were the most vehement facilitators of globalism and corporatism: such as Peter Mandelson, Alistair Campbell, Gordon Brown and Tony Blair. Thus New Labour furthered the UK's involvement in the EU, joined in globalist wars and even gave half our gold to America at a rock bottom price.

---

<sup>40</sup> For example, the British plasma service (blood transfusions) is now owned by a dodgy American hedge fund.

<sup>41</sup> For example he is for low taxes but at the same time greater public spending. He is for reducing unemployment and expanding economic growth but reducing working hours. He claims to be for workers, yet espouses continuing failed migration policies which drive down wages and increase the burden on the state. He is for France yet is an ardent supporter of the EU. His background was working for a Rothschild bank where he was rapidly promoted despite being average or under average in ability (he didn't even understand financial jargon when working in a financial establishment). He is just a poster boy for the globalists.

In addition, the left became far-right when Blair oversaw creeping privatisation of the NHS, Work Capability Assessments of the disabled, the collapse of social housing, favourable taxation of the rich but not of the poor, an old-age pension rise of 50p, detention without trial and an illegal war in Iraq based on lies - despite knowing the facts as reported by UN weapons inspectors. Conspiracy theorists will also add very suspicious deaths of David Kelly, Princess Diana, and Robin Cook (former Foreign Secretary).<sup>42</sup>

### **The right has changed**

The Tories used to be the party of the conservative shires.<sup>43</sup> They could be guaranteed to safeguard traditional values, sponsor hard working small businesses, cut taxes, eliminate red tape and help commerce to thrive. When David Cameron came to power in the first Tory government for 13 years he did none of these things.

He acted against the wishes of the shires and the Tory old guard. He was an ardent globalist. He did not help small business but made things harder. He did not cut the unnecessary EU red tape that hindered business or reduce business rates. Though there was a raising of the tax threshold for poor people, other taxes meant that people paid more tax overall. In fact his only real achievement was the introduction of Gay marriage, which was completely contrary to Tory traditional moral values. Austerity measures meant that all sorts of features of social infrastructure were cut against the wishes of Tory faithful – such as rural buses, museums, libraries, attacks on the green belt and massive funding cuts to county councils etc. He even sold off Royal Mail very cheaply to rich people.

This is just one particular example of how the right all over the world has changed. In America, right wing politics no longer reflect traditional republican people at all. GW Bush was the worst kind of globalist and did more than any president to increase the size and tyranny of the state and big corporate business at the expense of small commercial interests.

### **Conclusion**

Most people do not appreciate these changes at all and vote on the basis of historical precedent; they always voted for the left or the right and continue to do so. The left and right political parties hypocritically pretend that they are acting traditionally when they are not. Labour, for example, is no longer the party of northern working class people but of the Socialist Metropolitan London elite. This is why UKIP has eaten into Labour majorities in the north because UKIP is anti-globalist and pro nationalism.

## **Climate Change**

The hypocrisy of this is staggering.

The Global Warming or Climate Change agenda is simply a massive elite strategy to achieve a number of things. These include:

- Creating a diversion to distract the sort of people that could become political activists and threaten the Deep State.
- Increase the tax burden on ordinary people.<sup>44</sup>
- Create fear in the population.

---

<sup>42</sup> I firmly believe that at least two were murdered.

<sup>43</sup> The rural and regional counties of Britain.

<sup>44</sup> Over £100 is added to UK citizens energy bills p.a. as a result of this.

- Hinder the industrial development of Third World countries so that they do not use their massive resources and become technologically independent and powerful, thus threatening the elite in the West.

And so on.

The idea that mankind is responsible for global climate change is utter nonsense. I have explained this in detail in my paper, *'The Fabrications behind Climate Change'*. Just a few simple points to make here:

- Just one powerful volcano puts more CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere than mankind in all human history.
- Large animals breaking wind cause more damage to the Ozone layer than mankind.
- The sun is a far more powerful determiner of climate than human history.
- CO<sub>2</sub> is good for the planet. Recent CO<sub>2</sub> in the last 100 years has added greenery to the earth the size of a continent.
- Human history is full of periods of hotter temperatures than colder temperatures in a constant cycle driven by the sun. Thus the Medieval Warm Period was very hot and a time of prosperity. It didn't kill off polar bears. The warm period in Roman times enabled the Romans to grow grapes in York – much hotter than recent times. The mini ice age in the 1700s was a difficult period.
- All the predictions of the UN climate change panel have failed to materialise (such as the Maldives being drowned by now). The predictions of the computer simulations have been shown to be rubbish and mathematicians have demonstrated that the algorithms are faulty.
- Global temperatures have been in decline since 1990.
- There are greater numbers of polar bears living now than in previous recorded history.
- The Antarctic ice cap has not been reduced.
- The gap in the Ozone layer is closing.

We could go on an on, but enough.

So, the unnecessary fear of climate change is driving multitudes of political plans and strategies, let alone the wasted time of multiple Green activists. Supposedly, the establishment is very environmentally friendly because it pushes the fear of climate change. No! This is quite wrong.

Apart from the various facts of pollution caused by the elite's commercial enterprises – which are very serious indeed (including ruining the water table in many parts of America) – the Deep State is doing something even more serious every day.

### **Chemtrails**

The global elite have been pursuing a policy of Geo-Engineering for decades; in fact since the end of WWII. Declassified US state documents now confirm this in some detail.

What are Chemtrails? They are not the normal contrails (condensation trails) seen on commercial aircraft which dissipate very quickly and appear in one straight line on its own. These are trails caused by dropping chemicals and gases into the atmosphere by fleets of military aircraft. You can regularly see them as criss-cross grid patterns in the sky, which do not dissipate quickly.

That chemtrails exist is certain because we can see them. Exactly what the elite are doing through them is more conjectural. It is suggested that they are damaging human fertility to reduce the population, or that they are poisoning some localities, or that they are using Geo-Engineering as a military weapon. What is certain is that the levels of toxic substances hitting the ground after a chemtrail deposition can be measured and listed, such as aluminium oxide and barium.

I explain chemtrails in more detail in my paper, 'The Depths of Deception'.

So while the establishment make us use hopeless light bulbs, use LEDs, cuts car emissions, increases our taxes and multiple other things to lower our carbon footprint, it is, at the same time, deliberately poisoning the earth (and people) through dropping toxins out of planes.

Surely the state would not do such a thing? Well, yes they would and they have been proved to do this multiple times. Both the US and the UK have dropped substances on local populations to either test weapons or deliberately harm populations. This much is certainly known through declassified and leaked government documents. In some cases the government was forced to pay compensation to victims.

## Conclusion

This is a very small synopsis of what is going on all the time in the mainstream media and political decisions. You are not told the truth and very many important pieces of information are deliberately hidden from you.

Western nation states, and especially America, are blatant hypocrites that are doing worse deeds than those they castigate, such as Saddam Hussein, Gaddafi, Assad or Putin. Your taxes are being used to commit war crimes and atrocities in the name of performing humanitarian military actions.

**Paul Fahy Copyright © 2017**  
**Understanding Ministries**  
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>