

Who gassed the Syrian children?

Introduction

Much as I want to spend less time on worldly politics, I feel a divine constraint to again try to bring some common sense and truth to counteract the fake news that is dominating the population. Christians still find it difficult to see through the mainstream media's indoctrination, despite my multiple explanations of fake news, false flares (fake atrocity stories) and false flags.

Today I heard many people on the BBC Radio 2 Jeremy Vine discussion programme¹ urging the Prime Minister to take Britain into war with Assad because images of suffering and dead children upset them. That is exactly what the deep state wants. People should turn their rage against the actual people that use children in this way and inflict suffering upon them for political ends.

I have explained many times that the global elite intends to start World War 3; in fact it is behind schedule. More and more influential people are waking up to this and are seeking to stop it. Thus military chiefs in America obstructed plans by Obama and the CIA to start a war with Russia a few years ago. Hillary Clinton, the criminal pawn of the elite, would have certainly initiated war if she had gained the presidency. Sadly Trump seems to be more hawkish now than he was in his election campaign and the situation is critical. War with Syria would mean war with Russia, Iran and possibly other nations.

Two US equipment ships are already stationed in the Mediterranean ready to invade Syria, moored in Beirut, Lebanon. Israel is also doing military exercises in the Golan (Syrian) area. The proxy war using Muslim terrorists to fight the west's agenda in Syria has only been hindered by the presence of Russian and Iranian bases and successful air support.

The US-led Coalition is preparing to retake Raqqa and impose safe zones – in order to partition Syria and implement the Yinon Plan. It needs to exacerbate militarism and derail the peace process.

The failure of the terrorist invasion (they are not democratic rebels) has now necessitated a ramping up of the propaganda war; hence the gas attack blamed upon Assad.

What is really going on? This is my analysis.

What happened?

The western media ran provocative stories claiming that the Syrian government used Sarin in gas attacks on rebel areas in the north-west Syrian province of Idlib on Tuesday 4 April 2017, as stated by the White Helmets (supposed medics and rescue workers).

News services (including the BBC) then escalated the matter by alleging that Syrian and Russian airforces later targeted the medical clinics that were treating the survivors.

Scores of people were said to be killed in a small town called Khan Sheikhoun. The focus of the video footage was the bodies and suffering of children rather than adults. Later the death list was said to be 1,300. The Daily Mirror showed a line of dead children with a photograph of Assad underneath on the front page.

¹ 5 April 2017, 12-2pm.

Notice that instead of objective reporting of facts about what actually happened and asking relevant questions, the concentration was an emotional centring on suffering children. This alone speaks of deliberate manipulation.

Within minutes of the information getting out, western political leaders, led by the EU first, condemned Assad for the war crime, before any investigation or any evidence was available.

Soon afterwards the usual suspects were virulently attacking both Russia and Syria in the UN Security Council demanding action, either economic sanctions or military. Britain, France and America were even suggesting going it alone without a resolution. In other words, the elite is pushing hard for war.

This is an age-old plan to fool a nation into supporting an unwanted war by engineering atrocity stories, especially using children, that has been performed many times. See my paper, 'False Flares' for examples of such evil. Is not the collection of lies that took us into war with Iraq, which destabilised the Middle East and initiated the wave of Islamic jihadi attacks, not fresh enough in people's minds?

The turnaround

Prior to this attack things were calming down in Syria.

IS were on the run, being pulverised by Russian airstrikes and Syrian military actions.

President Trump and his spokesman had suggested that the Syrians be left alone to sort their own country out and to make US intervention a much lower priority.

A peace process was beginning to be established in Brussels in one week.

With this, '*worst chemical attack in years*' all this progress was ruined overnight.

Multiple voices are now clamouring for a 'boots on the ground' military action by the west in Syria. Neocons like John McCain are over the moon.

Just give this turnaround some consideration.

Why would Assad do this?

Critical thinking needs to be applied to this, asking salient questions.

Firstly, is Assad an evil, cruel, dictator that hates his people and is hated by his people? No he is not; all of that is fake news. His popularity is way above any western leader, while our Prime Minister does not even have an electoral mandate at all. After the siege of Aleppo was broken Syrians celebrated in the streets waving Syrian national flags and portraits of Assad. Assad has proved to be the protector and defender of all Syrians of all religions and is very unlike hard-line allies of the Americans, such as the Saudis or Qataris. In fact, Assad's Alawite background is the most tolerant and progressive Muslim faction and set against radical jihadis and terrorists like al-Qaeda and IS. Of all Islamic states, the west should be in alliance with Syria, not opposing it.

The idea of Assad gassing his own Syrian people is unthinkable. It goes against the grain of an intellectual man trained as a doctor to help people who never sought to be a national leader. Assad is strongly nationalistic and ardent in his devotion to defending his people; why would he gas Syrian children?

Then, with the situation as precarious as this in international politics, with the danger of America starting a war with Syria, why would Assad unnecessarily provoke outrage and possibly initiate that war by gassing kids? Only a really stupid person would do that.

Furthermore, the Syrian conflict is now going his way. Trump initially sought to make Syria less of a foreign policy priority. Headway was being made by the Syrian military against al-Qaeda targets and Aleppo has been freed. Why would he risk all this in a completely unnecessary propaganda catastrophe by gassing a non-military target?

Finally, if Assad had Sarin weapons, why didn't he use them on IS in Palmyra to save the artefacts, or in other areas where he was hard pushed?

Interim conclusion

Assad has absolute nothing to gain and everything to lose by using chemical weapons against his own people, and particularly by gassing children. It makes no sense.

Syria has no chemical weapons

Assad formally destroyed his chemical weapons under supervision of the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons in 2014. This is unlike Britain, America, France and Israel and many others (including the Syrian rebels) that do have chemical weapons.

Is there a precedent for this?

Yes there is and the mainstream media is still referring to lies about this.

Rebel use of Sarin blamed on Assad in 2013

There was a similar gas attack on local Syrians in 2013. Here I will quote from my paper, 'Syria: What is going on?'

The much-publicised Ghouta chemical weapon attack in August 2013 has now been thoroughly discredited as a false flag.

A report from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology² exposed that the US/UK/France³ chemical weapons case against Assad was a complete fraud, designed to trigger heightened military operations by the west and possibly outright war.⁴

The questionable rockets lying in the rubble in Ghouta outside Damascus could not have delivered Sarin payloads from Syrian government as the improvised rocket range was far too short to have been launched from government controlled areas. This was further exposed by journalist Seymour Hersh, who also explained that the terrorist rebels also had access to Sarin.

This gas attack, also using Sarin payloads, was proved to have been fired by US-backed rebels (i.e. Muslim terrorists such as al-Nusra). The intention was to provide a reason for NATO boots on the ground, but this failed because the ruse was exposed as a set up to frame Assad.

Despite these facts, the media, such as the BBC today, has continued to affirm that Assad gassed his own people at Ghouta in 2013. This is shameful lying.

So, the Muslim terrorists fighting Assad have access to chemical weapons (Assad says that his have been destroyed). We also know that rebels have used Sarin on Syrian families in an effort to frame Assad in a false flag operation.

² Richard Lloyd and Theodore Postol; 'Possible implications of faulty technical intelligence'.

³ Notably Barack Obama, David Cameron, John Kerry and William Hague.

⁴ See RT.com, 'MIT study of Ghouta chemical attack challenges US intelligence'.

The very first suspicion regarding the current attack should be to investigate rebel causes not the Syrian government. The US-backed rebels have everything to gain and nothing to lose (they don't care about kids, whom they regularly behead and crucify).

Eren Erdem of the Turkish government also exposed the plot by ISIS and Turkey to blame Syria for gas attacks. He proved that the Turkish government was well aware of the smuggling of Sarin gas used by rebels against the civilian population to frame Assad. He also proved that the supplies of the Sarin was from European countries.

Rebel stockpiles of Sarin in Idlib

Note that Assad says that Syria has no chemical weapons stocks anymore. But who is proven to have Sarin stock in Idlib? Yes, the rebel terrorists.

A Syrian airstrike hit a chemical weapons factory run by terrorists in Idlib only hours before.⁵ Sarin gas was being manufactured and stockpiled to be sent to Iraq. The ammunition depot was east of the same town, Khan Sheikhoun.

Technical note: the airstrike was performed by a Russian Sukhoi SU-22 fighter-bomber to carry out the precision attack. The bombs used by this warplane are unique and cannot be filled with chemical substances.

Chemical attacks have been used by al-Qaeda type rebels in Mosul but the west is ignoring this. Chemical weapons, such as mustard gas, have also been used by the al-Nusra 'rebels' in Aleppo; again ignored by the west.⁶ When Assad gave evidence regarding chemical weapons attacks by rebels to formal authorities for investigation, the western media paid no attention.

Civilians and fighting forces, including Kurdish militias, have claimed that militant rebel groups that operate in Idlib, Hama and Aleppo rural areas have used chemical weapons in the past.

Hillary Clinton gave Sarin to the rebels; Britain assisted

Acclaimed investigative reporter Seymour Hersh affirmed that Sarin stockpiled in Libya was given by Clinton to Syrian rebels.⁷

The Sarin used in Ghouta did not come from Assad's supplies. In fact, Hersh avers that the Obama administration, with Turkey, Saudi Arabia and Qatar, agreed to set up a Sarin gas attack and blame it on Assad as a pretext for a US regime change in 2012. The CIA and British MI6 were responsible for getting the arms from Libya to the Syrian terrorists.

The US Consulate in Benghazi was being used as a 'rat-line' for Gaddafi's captured weapons into Syria through Turkey.

This has also been confirmed by investigative reporter Christoph Lehmann.⁸

[Note that the CIA's first coup was carried out in 1949 in Syria, overthrowing a democratically elected leader to enable a Saudi oil pipeline to be built – but later domestic coups stopped it. This, and the planned Qatar pipeline, is still on the agenda when Assad is overthrown.]

⁵ RT; 'Rebel warehouse with chem weapons hit by Syrian airstrike in Idlib – Russian MOD', 5 April 2017.

⁶ RT, 'Syria hands over evidence of mustard gas attack by rebels on civilians to OPCW', 18 December 2016.

⁷ Zero Hedge, Tyler Durden; 'Seymour Hersh says Hillary approved sending Libya's Sarin to Syrian rebels', 1 May 2016.

⁸ 'Top US and Saudi officials responsible for chemical weapons in Syria', 7 October 2013.

Testimony to the UN

UN human rights investigators (UN Independent Commission of Enquiry) found that rebel groups had stocks of Sarin and were using them against Syrian forces. Officials also stated that there was no evidence that the Syrian government had any chemical weapons.⁹

Video shows rebels with Sarin

In December 2012 Syrian rebels going by the name of the 'Almighty Wind Brigade', released a video showing stocks of Sarin agent and filmed the horrific killing of two rabbits by this toxin.¹⁰ The voiceover then says that he swears by Allah to make 'you Alawites' (Syrians) die like these rabbits.

Interim conclusion

So we know that the rebels had Sarin (supplied by the US), were making Sarin (which is simple) and were using chemical weapons on Syrian civilians. We have no evidence that Assad even has any at all; this is confirmed by the UN inspectors.

Have previous rebel video reports been proved to be fake?

Yes; over and over again! It has been proved that video footage of 'Syrian atrocities' used by western media has been found to be falsified in various forms. Some was old stock footage that was said to be recent destruction (e.g. of a hospital). Some was found to have been filmed in a studio used for pop music videos. Some was filmed in an Egyptian film studio. Others were just fabricated in simpler ways. I have explained details of this elsewhere. Also the sources for Syrian opposition press information have repeatedly been found to be false.

Can the White Helmets be trusted?

Absolutely not! They are terrorists posing as medical corps that are completely integrated into IS and al-Qaeda groups. They are funded by the west, such as George Soros and the UN and the British Foreign Office, but they have been videoed assassinating people, trampling on bodies and liaising with terrorist factions.

For details on the White Helmets see appendix one.

Investigators have found out that the White Helmets knew about the gas attack before it happened, thus proving complicity. All the rebels in the nearby areas were given facemasks the day before the attack.

The rebels also had training over a month ago, with Hazmat suits, for dealing with chemical attacks in the same location. Photograph evidence is available for this.¹¹

A British doctor (Dr Shajul Islam) taking interview requests on the chemical weapons attack received a gas mask from Britain a day prior.¹²

One of the doctors the media is using for information of the gas attack was struck off for kidnapping journalists.¹³

⁹ See interview with Carla Del Ponte at YouTube, 'Syria flashback rebels used Sarin nerve agent: testimonies to UN Investigators', published 22 August 2013.

¹⁰ See it on YouTube, Corbett Report (Jack Blood podcast); 'Emergency alert: latest Syrian chemical attack follows history of false flag provocations', 21 August 2013.

¹¹ Partisangirl; Tweet, 4 April 2017. Tweets are necessary evidence at this early stage to gain information from people actually in the area.

¹² Partisangirl; Tweet, 4 April 2017.

One rebel supporter is quoted as saying the day before, ‘*Tomorrow starting a media campaign to cover the airstrikes on Rif Hama and the use chlorine poison against civilians*’.¹⁴ How could an anti-Assad commentator know that there would be some kind of media storm about a gas attack (alleging it was done by Syrian planes) the day before it occurred?

Orient TV prepared a ‘*media campaign*’ to cover alleged Russian and Syrian airstrikes using chemical weapons in Hama countryside – hours before this incident occurred.¹⁵

Discrepancies in the filmed reports

Despite being given protective clothing for chemical weapons one month earlier, the White Helmets are filmed without any Hazmat suits. This is a serious error in making the film. They are using their bare hands when touching victims, which could kill you via skin contact or inhalation. This was ‘weapons grade’ Sarin that was very powerful with effects lasting hours unless proper decontamination methods were used (they were not).

A doctor (Dr Shajul Islam) supposedly dealing with the emergency, when patients were stated to be flooding in to the hospital, had time to Tweet and send video calls to magnify the media storm.¹⁶

Some pictures of the scene appeared to be a rebel mountain stronghold with caves cut out of rock to house vehicles. What were children doing there? This is not a residential area! It seems to be a rebel base used as a site for filming.

250 people were kidnapped by al-Qaeda in the north (Khattab) just before the claimed attack. Local Syrians believe that these kidnap victims were used for the gas attacks, having identified the victims.¹⁷

Some of the children filmed as victims in the gas attack appear to have been bludgeoned to death before being gassed. They have blunt force trauma to their heads. Syrian Girl has posted photographs of these on her Twitter account.¹⁸

Another possibility?

The Syrian airstrike in Idlib, shortly before the gas attack, hit a weapons dump that included stocks of chemical weapons. It has been posited by some, such as a retired CIA officer on RT (who thought it unlikely that Assad did this deliberately),¹⁹ that the explosion may have released clouds of Sarin gas, which drifted in the wind towards the village and caused the damage accidentally.

While this is a plausible scenario, it does not explain the multiple discrepancies in the rebel videos; nor does it explain the fact that multiple people knew of an impending media campaign against Syria that involved allegations of chemical weapons attacks. Nor does it explain the brutalised bodies or the claim that bodies were previously kidnapped villagers.

¹³ Partisangirl; Tweet, 4 April 2017.

¹⁴ Partisangirl; Tweet, 4 April 2017. Feras Karam; Tweet.

¹⁵ Tweet, ‘Within Syria’, ‘Tomorrow we are launching a media campaign to cover the airstrikes on Hama countryside including the usage of CW’, 4 April 2017.

¹⁶ Malinka, Tweet 4 April 2017. Dr Shajul Islam; Tweet, 4 April 2017.

¹⁷ AMN, Leith Fadel; ‘Jihadist rebels kidnap civilians before fleeing village in northern Hama’, 4 April 2017.

¹⁸ Partisangirl; Tweet, 4 April 2017.

¹⁹ RT; 5 April 2017, night-time news headlines.

Summary

The argument can be reduced to these bullet points:

- The sources for the media's claim that Assad did this are rebel forces, which have constantly proved to be liars.
- The media has not mentioned this questionable factor at all; the stories are presented as accurate facts before any investigation has occurred.
- The enemies of Syria (the west and Sunni regimes) can't wait to invade Syria before any proper investigation has even been initiated. Calls are being made for war right now (5 April 2017). The American UN ambassador has even stated that unilateral action may be necessary without formal UN approval. War with Syria could escalate into World War 3.
- Assad and Russia have formally affirmed that they did not do this.
- Assad has confirmed (with official oversight) that Syria does not have chemical weapons.
- The Syrian military have confirmed that they have no chemical weapons.
- Assad has nothing to gain from this and everything to lose; it makes no sense at all.
- The rebels, the US Neocons that want war, the global elite that is fulfilling a previous plan and the Sunni extremists have everything to gain by derailing the peace process.
- The rebels have Sarin chemical weapons and have used them several times with no media attention whatsoever.
- There are multiple discrepancies and problems with the rebel videos and accounts, such as handling Sarin victims without protective suits, which would result in death.
- Previous videos supplied by rebel groups and the White Helmets have been proven to be falsified.
- Syrians claim that kidnap victims have been killed and used to make these video reports. Some children show blunt force trauma.
- The likely suspects concocting this media campaign (rebels and the White Helmets) are financed by the west, particularly the US and the British Foreign Office.

Conclusions by others

It looks like to us that this is foreign powers trying to frame Syria for another deadly gas attack.

Israeli News Live, 'Syrian rebels behind Sarin gas attack', 5 April 2017.

It is clear then that the most likely explanation for this tragic event is either an accident or a false flag, and certainly not an intentional action by the Assad government.

Colin Liddell; Occidental Observer, 'Why the Syrian gas attack is probably fake', 5 April 2017.

I think that there is zero chance that Assad did this (sic).

Ron Paul (former US politician and presidential candidate).²⁰

The chances that the Syrian military actually carried out the gas attack in northern Syria are pretty much zero.

Gerry Condon; World beyond War, 'Syrian gas attack is almost certainly a false flag'.

²⁰ Ron Paul Liberty Report, 'Syria gas attack: Assad's doing ... or false flag?', 5 April 2017.

Let me tell you why the Syrian government is not responsible for the chemical attack in Khan Sheikhoun,

Kevork Almassian, 'Syrianna Analysis'.

Conclusion

This was a massive propaganda campaign by Muslim terrorists. The supply of Sarin to the rebels has been facilitated by the US, Saudi Arabia, the Gulf States and NATO. Direct financing and support has come from the British Foreign Office to the White Helmets. All of these are culpable in several gas attacks; the most recent being in Idlib.

All those Brits venting their spleen on radio against evil Assad need to know that their taxes helped to pay for this atrocity and that it was performed by the US-led rebel groups that are basically al-Qaeda terrorists.²¹

WHEN WILL PEOPLE WAKE UP AND STOP BEING SPOON FED LIES FOR NEWS AND SEEK THE TRUTH? WHY SUPPORT WARMONGERS WHO HATE INNOCENT CIVILIANS AND TREAT THEM LIKE PAWNS ON A CHESSBOARD? YOU SAW IT IN IRAQ. YOU SAW IT IN AFGHANISTAN. YOU SAW IT IN LIBYA. WAKE UP!

The suffering of children and other civilians in Sarin attacks is not only a tragedy, it is a war crime. People are quite right to be angry; this is an offence against God and humanity.

However, the wrath of people should be aimed at the perpetrators, which appears to be 'rebel' (i.e. terrorist) groups again seeking to frame Assad and callously using children to set it up to destroy the peace process.

If military action by the west arises from this that will be another crime. It will be yet another US-led war against an innocent nation, initiating regime change of a sovereign democratic state, based upon false evidence. Has the world not had enough of this insanity (Iraq / Libya / Afghanistan), which is all to foster a previous 1980s Zionist plan for the Middle East?²²

Christians especially need to ensure that they do not fall for deception instigated by satanic forces to enable plans for a world government.

²¹ There are many factions that constantly change their names.

²² I have explained the Yinon Plan (thence the Project for the New American Century, 'Rebuilding America's Defences', and 'Greater Israel' plans) many times, such as in my paper on Syria.

Appendix One

The White Helmets

Extract from, 'Syria: What is going on?'

The Syria Civil Defence NGO, aka 'the white helmets'

NGOs (non-governmental organisations) are now in great use by imperialist governments to implement regime change and foment social conflict. They have been proved to be used in Ukraine, Iraq and elsewhere but are now rampant in Syria. *'NGOs help crack countries open like ripe nuts, paving the way for intensifying waves of exploitation and extraction.'*²³ Vanessa Beeley calls the NGO soft power complex, *'one of the most destructive global forces'*.²⁴ They are the interface between the civilians in the target nation and the imperial power structures intent on harnessing the target's resources or undermining the target's geopolitical power. Regime change is one of the strategies they help to implement; sometimes by covert military actions, weapons supply or propaganda manipulation.

This particular NGO is formally headed by James Le Mesurier, a British mercenary and ex British intelligence officer who is connected to the CIA and Blackwater.²⁵ The obscure origins of this NGO began with a \$300,000 fund supplied by the US, UK and the 'Syrian Opposition'. Logistical support is provided by the Turkish disaster response team AKUT. In 2013 \$13 million was given in donations by the US, UK, Europe, the Syrian National Council (opposition) and George Soros.

Mesurier claims that the White Helmets now have more influence on Syrian communities than IS or al-Nusra. The public image has been carefully designed and co-ordinated to achieve the greatest possibly psychological influence, such as holding babies aloft over ruins. This has been organised by a PR company called 'Purpose', which is partnered with George Soros.

From the west's point of view, the White Helmets organisation is a grass roots, non-aligned, humanitarian organisation while in fact it is a created, covert intelligence and disinformation asset funded largely by the British Foreign Office and led by a former British army officer.

They claim to rescue both terrorist and government casualties; they claim to properly bury the bodies of all; they claim to be impartial and driven by humanitarianism. All these things are lies. You can see a video of a White Helmet officer boasting that they throw the bodies of the Shabiha (Assad Regime militia) into the trash and then boasting about supporting al-Qaeda. Another celebrates the al-Qaeda take-over of Jisr al- Shugour. Others are pictured standing on the corpses of government troops (possibly amongst the thousands thrown into the River Queiq to poison Aleppo's water supply).²⁶

The West claims that these are volunteer first-responders and were nominated for the 2016 Nobel Peace Prize. They claim to be an independent NGO with 3,000 operatives that has

²³ Stephanie McMillan, quoted in Vanessa Beeley, 21st Century Wire, 'Part II – Syria's White Helmets: War by way of deception – Moderate Executioners', 28 October 2015.

²⁴ Ibid.

²⁵ An American private military company, now called 'Academi'. It was connected to a scandal in 2007 when a military group under its control killed 17 Iraqi civilians and injured 20 more in Baghdad. The CIA ran operations through Blackwater, giving them the power to abduct people or murder whilst claiming no involvement.

²⁶ Photo capture at Vanessa Beeley, 21st Century Wire, 'Part II – Syria's White Helmets: War by way of deception – Moderate Executioners', 28 October 2015.

no direct funding but investigators have proved that USAID is a major shareholder, who have given it over \$16 million. One might ask where is all this money going for 3,000 medics? One analyst called it '*a prime UD Government regime change facilitator*'.²⁷ The Bolivian president, Evo Morales, expelled USAID from Bolivia for conspiracies connected to the White House. It uses taxpayer's money to conduct covert operations to assist pro-US governments and damage anti-US ones, such as regime change.

Thus the white helmets are being paid to conduct secretive terror operations in Syria and are integrated into the Al-Nusra Front, the Free Syrian Army and IS networks. You can see a photo of an IS fighter standing outside a White Helmet depot in an IS held area south of Yarmouk Camp. This photo forced the Daily Telegraph to attempt damage limitation after celebrating the humanitarian role of the White Helmets. Even the UK government now admits that they are embedded in IS and that the Foreign Office is the largest single source of funding for them (though actually the US gives far more).

In fact, White Helmet officers are just criminal Al-Nusra terrorists who steal ambulances and fire engines and kill Syria's real Civil Defence officials. They have been funded by the US, European States and Britain to the tune of \$100 million in total (£32 million from the UK recently) and this money has been directed into arms purchase and terrorist resources. They are trained in Turkey and enter Syria in trucks that are suspected as being a conduit to bring in arms and equipment. Far from being unarmed, they are regularly filmed with assault rifles and handguns, or even operating artillery.


One of the top three White Helmets is Farouq al Habib. This man was a leader of the Homs uprising against Assad and claims to have been tortured by the Syrian regime in 2012. He was also a founder member of the Homs Revolutionary Council (aided by the CIA). Another leader is Raed Saleh, a known Syrian opposition protagonist.

Stories given to the media from this group have proven to be fabricated. Russia Today and Sott.net have exposed the falsities in white helmet propaganda, such as re-using old photographs on Twitter to blame Russia for civilian deaths.²⁸ The group has called for the burning and destruction of villages under siege by rebels. They have been filmed beheading civilians and executing others by shooting. They have been seen acting as a terrorist one moment and then putting on the white helmet later.

There is a real Syrian Civil Defence that is government supported that is doing genuine medical work. This has been operating since 1953 and is affiliated to the UN and the Red Cross. This works in both terrorist and government-held areas but is ignored by the west. The White Helmets have murdered genuine Civil Defence medics.

The White Helmets' purpose is actually to destroy another Syrian institution, the Civil Defence league because it is linked to the government as an official state first responder medical group.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version
© Thomas Nelson 1982



²⁷ Vanessa Beeley, 21st Century Wire, 'Syria's White Helmets: war by way of deception – Part 1', 23 October 2015.

²⁸ For example: a photo of the destruction of a tower block on 14 April 2013 was recycled by the White Helmets to claim it was done by Russia on 20 August 2015.